

**DYNAMICS OF ECONOMIC STATECRAFT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND
PEOPLES REPUBLIC OF CHINA UNDER PRESIDENTS DONALD TRUMP AND XI**

JINPING

SHERIFF GHALI IBRAHIM

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS,

UNIVERSITY OF ABUJA

OYENEYIN RONKE RASHIDAT

DEPARTMENT OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS, BAZE UNIVERSITY, ABUJA-

NIGERIA

EMAIL: sherfboy@yahoo.com

Abstract

The dynamics of economic statecraft under the administrations of Donald Trump and Xi Jinping are examined in this paper, with particular attention paid to trade policies, investment flows, strategic rivalry, and the role of multinational corporations. Through a qualitative research method, this paper aims to provide insight into the intricate relationship between economic interests, security concerns, and geopolitical tensions by examining significant events, policy decisions, and their consequences. Findings show that the Economic relationship between the United States of America and China under the Trump and Xi's administration was very complicated. In their history of economic statecraft there have been times of cooperation and disagreement in the US-China economic relationship. The paper concludes that key economic objectives, such as lowering dependency on the US and the global economy, are what motivate China's business endeavors abroad. The paper recommends that it is crucial to strike a balance between collaboration in areas like pandemic response and climate change and competitiveness in areas like technology and security.

Keywords: statecraft; Economic Statecraft; foreign policy; Trade war; rivalry; competition

Introduction

Strategic competition is an important part of the global system, with far-reaching implications for the current political and economic order, as well as security (Aggarwal &Reddie, 2021). In the face of such challenges, the World Trade Organization (WTO) has come under increasing pressure. These challenges include the US-China trade war, the US's unilateral protectionist actions, and the US's refusal to appoint judges to the Appellate Body (Aggarwal &Reddie, 2021). In recent years, economic statecraft between power-houses such as the United States and the People's Republic of China has become increasingly complex. The decisions of leaders such as Donald Trump and Xi Jinping have resulted in significant changes, particularly in the areas of international trade, diplomacy, and geopolitical relationships. Economic statecraft is the intentional and deliberate use of economic means to achieve foreign policy objectives. Before any state or players in the international community can effectively achieve their foreign policy objectives, it is crucial that the economic relations between them and other countries are successful. The era of trump and xi shows a very significant changes in the international systems, the economic relationship between the two countries went from cordial to turbulent. In this paper, the dynamics of economic statecraft and its impact on bilateral ties and on world stage will be discussed.

Material and Method

The paper adopted the historical descriptive research method and utilised secondary sources of data collection such as books, journals, periodicals and reports. This is also supported by a theoretical framework to explain and justify the topic under study.

Literature Review

Strategic competition is a common phenomenon in the international system, and it has significant implications for global security, politics, and economics. Economic state craft is defined as a state's deliberate efforts to create incentives for commercial actors to behave in a way that produces security externalities that support the state's strategic objectives (The Bush School at Texas A&M, 2021). Economic statecraft is very important to the foreign policy of the united state since its inception. Since the end of the Cold War, US policymakers have used economic carrots and sticks to advance the nation's interest. Since 2018, the economic relationship between China changed and has never remain the same.

In a thorough analysis of trade wars throughout history, Conybeare uses game theory to develop an argument that highlights the significance of a country's size for the outbreak of trade wars. Specifically, he argues that states have incentives to impose unilateral tariffs on trading partners in order to improve their terms of trade and maximize national income. Trade wars have been a recurring feature of the global economy (Hua & Zeng, 2022). Previous research works have focused on state incentives for retaliation and variables impacting trade dispute resolution in specific sectors like high technology and agriculture. Busch's analysis of state support for high-

tech enterprises and sectors indicates that governments are more likely to act or retaliate when there are considerable externalities for domestic industries or the advantages are limited to the domestic economy. Furthermore, Hua & Zeng (2022) opine that scholarly study has been focused on particular trade war occurrences in the modern economy and that Irwin offers a thorough historical analysis of the reasons for and ramifications of the notorious Smoot-Hawley tariff of 1930, which raised US import duties on a wide range of goods to defend US industry and agriculture.

The dynamics of trade disputes between the United States and its major trading partners, like Japan or the European Union, had also attracted significant scholarly attention before China emerged as the nation with the highest trade surplus against the United States and became the nation that US trade policy makers have been most interested in. Particular emphasis was paid to the domestic and international factors that impact the evolution and settlement of these highly contentious trade disputes. There is an increasing amount of scholarly interest in the fundamental causes, methods, outcomes, and repercussions of the trade conflicts between the world's two largest economies, China and the United States. In a previous research, Zeng compared the dynamics of the US-China trade disputes with those between the US, Japan and Europe in the 1980s and 1990s and makes the case that the bilateral trade structure may affect the success of negotiations by influencing the degree of public support for aggressive negotiating strategies (Hua & Zeng, 2022).

Commercial sanctions threats are more likely to get unanimity from domestic interest groups when the trade relations between two nations are competitive rather than complimentary. This explains why trade reprisal threats made by the United States against its rival trading partners are not only more likely to be successful and credible, but also more likely to increase pressure on those nations all of which are democracies to engage in brinkmanship and tit-for-tat retribution. More and more studies have been published recently exploring the causes, dynamics, and effects of the US-China trade war, which started in 2018. Numerous studies have examined how the US-China trade war has affected US investment, importers, consumers, global value chains, global or regional commerce and economic development, and Chinese enterprises. While there is evidence that the US-China trade war has not slowed down global development and that export performance varies widely between nations, other research indicate that the trade war has had a detrimental impact on the growth rates of US businesses, consumer welfare, consumption growth, and other nations' real income. Additionally, it has been posited that Chinese companies' investment, R&D spending, and profitability have decreased as a result of the trade war's increased trade policy uncertainty, and that Chinese regions affected by the US tariffs have witnessed a greater loss in per capita income than those with less extensive tariff exposure. While economists frequently draw attention to the US-China trade war's economic effects, political scientists have focused more on the political backgrounds of the trade battle, corporate inclinations toward it, and how it may affect US electoral politics. Scholars have pointed out that the increasing US-China competitiveness against the backdrop of a hegemonic power shift and the relative loss in the efficacy of global trade regulation are the global origins of the trade war, and they have caused Washington to resurrect the use of unilateral negotiating techniques in addressing China's trade concerns. negotiating strategies to address China's trade issues.

Regarding domestic causes, there have been suggestions that President Xi Jinping's ascent to authoritarianism in China and his adoption of a more aggressive and autonomous foreign policy

have undermined hopes that China may evolve in a liberal path. This has led to an increasing number of policy makers in both branches of the US government, as well as elites in the policy community and business leadership, becoming more frustrated that after decades of engagement, China has not lived up to its WTO membership obligations, liberalized the home market, and strengthened the rule of law in its trade governance. The World Trade Organisation (WTO) as the global organisation that deals with the trade rules amongst nations and are saddled with the responsibilities of ensuring that there is a smooth and free trade flow amongst nations. This organisation operates a global system of trade rules and as a forum for nations to negotiate trade agreements. The organisation also assists the nations in the settlement of trade issues while also helping out the developing countries.

Theoretical Framework

The strategic use of economic instruments by nations to further their foreign policy objectives is known as economic statecraft. It entails using economic tools to shape the conduct of foreign nations, advance domestic goals, and strengthen security. States employ a variety of strategies to manage international relations, including economic statecraft, which includes everything from enforcing sanctions to encouraging economic cooperation and furthering national interests. The common forms of economic statecraft includes, granting foreign aid through giving other countries material and financial support, formulation of trade policies by forming trade agreements, tariffs, and import/export laws, providing investment Incentives by providing tax exemptions, assurances, and advantageous circumstances to promote foreign direct investment, Enforcement of sanctions by the application of negative or positive fines or incentives to a nation based on its behavior and the use of capital flows to regulate cross-border transfers of capital. States have used a variety of economic instruments to accomplish their foreign policy goals throughout history. An instance of economic statecraft is the Megarian Decree that was in use in ancient Athens.

Aspects of Economic Statecraft includes, penalties for negligence which entail using threats or punishments to deter unwanted behavior; Embargoes that may include refusal to export particular items to a designated nation; Boycotting purchasing products from a particular nation; Blacklisting or subtle rejections to transact with specific organizations; preventive buying by purchasing goods to forestall them from ending up in target countries; expropriation by removing ownership from foreign entities; punitive taxation through high taxation; aid suspension and asset freezes. Economic statecraft also includes incentives or rewards, tariffs that are preferential and advantageous terms for trade, provision of monetary assistance to particular sectors of the economy, provision of assistance to other countries, assuring foreign investors of safety through investment guarantees and advantages of foreign investment taxes. Economic statecraft has a lengthy history, and its efficacy varies according to the situation and the objectives.

The literature on theories of economic statecraft can be divided into three schools, Economic liberals predict a direct relationship between the size of the provided financial rewards or penalties and the success of the policy. Realists, on the other hand, question whether economic considerations can ever win over states to the abandonment of significant political or geopolitical initiatives, proponents of conditionalism contend that economic statecraft is only viable in specific political environments. Political Economic theories explains the relationship between a government and its people-oriented policies. For the purpose of this study, the realist, the liberal and Conditionalist ideologies of political economic theories of Economic nationalism will be

looked at, because the study of the political economy entails the study of socialism, communism and capitalism. The realist perspective assumptions states that, states are primarily self-interested and power-driven entities and National leaders prioritize political and strategic objectives over economic ones. Realists argue that economic statecraft is unlikely to significantly influence states and emphasize that national interests, security concerns, and geopolitical power dynamics take precedence. Critiques of the realist theory have argued that, economic incentives or sanctions are insufficient to alter state behavior and that states will prioritize their survival and strategic interests even if economic costs are incurred.

The **Liberal Perspective**: protecting and enhancing individual freedom and economic activities are paramount to a state and that cooperation among states is possible, while common goals can be pursued. Liberals recognize the potential of economic incentives and interdependence and they believe that economic benefits or pain can influence state behavior. To a liberalist, economic statecraft can foster cooperation, promote trade, and encourage adherence to international norms. Critiques of the liberal school of thought have argue that economic interdependence may not always lead to harmony and conflicts can still arise. According to the critiques of this school of thought, the effectiveness of economic statecraft depends on various factors, including domestic politics, international relations, and the target state's level of stateness. While realists emphasize power politics, liberals highlight cooperation and individual freedom in the realm of economic statecraft. Both the realist and the liberal perspectives contribute to the understanding of how economic tools shape international relations.

Findings and Discussion

A comparison of China's and the United States' economic statecraft policy documents is carried out to see how each nation uses economic instruments to advance its foreign policy goals and safeguard its interests in national security. The economic statecraft in the United States shows that the US uses a variety of strategies in its economic statecraft one of which is the Initiative for Economic Statecraft (ESI), which focuses on research and analysis about sanctions and the use of economic power, and is hosted by the Atlantic Council. The ESI, seeks to mold statecraft economic responses to global issues by looking into issues like international sanctions, sanctions against Russia, and the advantages and disadvantages of major world currencies. To further its diplomatic goals, the US government uses a mix of financial rewards and punishments. These tactics consist of trade agreements, monetary policies, foreign aid, and direct investment, but competing domestic interests, regional dynamics, and economic challenges all affect how effective U.S. economic statecraft is. The United States also places a strong emphasis on employing economic instruments to safeguard its interests in national security. This involves using economic tools to neutralize international threats.

The Chinese government also makes active use of economic statecraft. As a major player in economic statecraft, China has considerable control over wealth. China's economic statecraft is more assertive and diverse than ever before, its state-led development model permits the use of both economic incentives and penalties. China manipulates other nations with the help of its financial resources to secure diplomatic outcomes, for example, it offers grants, loans, and investments. Nevertheless, competing interests between state and private actors occasionally prevent effective policy implementation. China's extraordinary control over wealth makes its economic statecraft unsettling to people all over the world. Its deeds have an effect on Asia and

beyond. To sum up, both China and the United States acknowledge the significance of economic statecraft. Even though their strategies are different, it is essential to comprehend these policies in order to make wise decisions and engage the world in constructive ways.

Under President Donald Trump, the dynamics of economic statecraft between the United States and China were marked by strategic maneuvering and complex interactions. President Donald Trump's economic statecraft during his tenure was marked by a distinctive approach that diverged from previous administrations. Personnel-related issues beset the Trump administration. Important posts went unfilled, such as the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) and important subcabinet officials at State, Treasury, and Commerce. Certain appointees had no prior government experience, while others offered unique viewpoints. A positive step forward was indicated by the nomination of Adam Lerrick and David Malpass for senior international positions at the U.S. Treasury Department. Trump prioritized a microeconomic approach by appointing individuals with backgrounds in business and finance. The administration's emphasis on trade, sanctions, and foreign aid demonstrated a practical approach to economic statecraft. The only person with a PhD in economics was Peter Navarro, who had unconventional views on trade. Staffing and policy orientation were two areas where Trump's economic statecraft ran into difficulties. His strategy relied on selecting people with experience and striking a balance between microeconomic viewpoints and more general economic objectives. Trump's economic policies have left a complex legacy that affects both geopolitical dynamics and international economic relations. In conclusion, trade tensions, audacious actions, and a concentration on microeconomic concerns typified President Trump's economic statecraft. Its effects are still being felt, influencing future U.S. foreign economic policy.

One of the main facets of the Trump administration's economic statecraft was the trade war it started with China. It stood for the biggest economic dispute of the modern era. The goal of the trade war was to defend American economic interests while addressing alleged unfair Chinese practices. In 2018 and 2019, both China and the United States increased their tariffs on trade flows valued at \$450 billion, sparking the start of the trade war. Although there was a decrease in trade between the United States and China, the global impact was significant. It's interesting to note that other countries gained from the trade war by gaining access to new markets. Countries with strong trade agreements and foreign direct investment saw higher export growth. Because of the response from bystander countries, overall global trade increased by about 3%.

Chinese Technology Companies (like Huawei) are Subject to Restrictions. The United States has placed Huawei, a well-known Chinese producer of communications equipment, under severe sanctions. 2018 saw a sudden increase in these sanctions, which had an impact on Huawei's agreements with US businesses and equipment sales contracts. Huawei's access to American technology has been restricted after the U.S. Department of Commerce added it to the Entity List for export controls. Foreign manufacturers of semiconductor chips who depend on American hardware and software for exports to Huawei were also subject to the ban. The technological rivalry between China and the United States goes beyond Huawei and affects global tech leadership more broadly.

Foreign investments made in the United States are examined by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS) to determine how they may affect national security. The

authority of CFIUS was increased by the Foreign Investment Risk Review Modernization Act (FIRRMA). CFIUS is able to examine foreign investors' non-controlling holdings, including real estate deals, thanks to FIRMA. It improves American capacity to handle national security issues brought on by foreign investments. The regulations went into effect in 2020, and as of right now, CFIUS submits cases using a case management system. Taiwan's relationship with China and its changing South China Sea position makes it a geopolitical hotspot. Taiwan has taken action to advance regional peace and clarify its claims based on international law. Competing claims by China, the Philippines, Vietnam, Malaysia, Brunei, and Taiwan surround the South China Sea territorial disputes. Regional stability has been negatively impacted by China's broad claims, which have also increased tensions. Human rights concerns are also pertinent, particularly in light of China's actions in the region and their effects on overflight and freedom of navigation.

Effectiveness and Unintended Consequences of Tariffs and Non-Tariff Measures (NTMs) are a variety of policy measures that go beyond tariffs and are levied as taxes on imported goods. NTMs have an impact on prices and trade volumes. The context and design of tariffs and NTMs affect their efficacy. Tariffs have the potential to hinder economic growth by reducing trade volumes and demand. NTMs, like standards and regulations, can also alter trade by modifying prices or trade quantities. A balance between positive and negative consequences, exporters' responses, and sector-specific effects must all be taken into account when analyzing their impact.

In summary, these geopolitical dynamics have far-reaching implications for regional stability, trade, and global relations. Rewarding positive behavior and addressing negative actions are essential for maintaining peace and prosperity in the Indo-Pacific region.

China's Response under President Xi Jinping

Under President Xi Jinping, China's response to US statecraft was nuanced and well-planned. The principal components of China's strategy consist of retaliation actions where China imposed countersanctions and tit-for-tat tariffs in reaction to US tariffs on Chinese goods. China took this reciprocal action to demonstrate its resolve in the trade dispute and to safeguard its economic interest; The BRI (Belt and Road Initiative), China initiated the ambitious Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), a global project to develop infrastructure, with the hopes to strengthen its economic diplomacy through the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) by funding infrastructure projects in Asia, Europe, and Africa. China. The initiative promotes trade, investment, and connectivity while establishing China as a key participant in global economic affairs. The goal of China's "Made in China 2025" initiative is to become self-sufficient in terms of technology and the key element of this strategy is the semiconductor industry where China seeks to lessen its reliance on foreign technology by making investments in R&D and manufacturing. The production and export of rare earth minerals are strategically controlled by China, utilizing geoeconomics leverage because these minerals are necessary for many high-tech industries, such as defense and electronics. China exerts economic pressure and influence global supply chains by using its dominance in this sector. Through Confucius Institutions around the world, China actively promotes its culture, language, and values. These institutes act as hubs for scholarly cooperation, language acquisition, and cross-cultural interchange. China is creating goodwill and influencing the world's opinion by strengthening its soft power. In conclusion, China used a combination of technological, cultural, and economic tactics to counter US statecraft. China's commitment to protect its interests and demonstrate its influence on the international scene is reflected in the above stated actions.

In carrying out a comparative assessment of the economic statecraft of the United States of America and China under the tenures of presidents Donald Trump and Xi Jinping, it is imperative to look at their effectiveness, impact and the unintended consequences:

1. Economic Statecraft Tools:

President Donald Trump:

- a. **Tariffs:** Responding to what they saw as unfair trade practices, the Trump administration imposed harsh tariffs on Chinese imports. The objectives of these tariffs were intellectual property protection, trade deficit reduction, and industry protection in the United States. But they also prompted China to take retaliatory action, which affected international supply chains and consumer pricing.
- b. **Export Controls:** The Trump administration limited the flow of vital components and sensitive technologies to China by enforcing export restrictions. The goals of this approach were to protect national security and stop cutting-edge technology from leaking. Notably, limitations were placed on Huawei and other corporations, preventing them from accessing US technology.
- c. **Sanctions:** The US imposed targeted sanctions on particular Chinese organizations and people. Such penalties were frequently connected to transgressions of international law, cybersecurity issues, or violations of human rights. For example, certain Chinese tech companies were blocked from accessing US markets and technology due to being placed on a blacklist.

o President Xi Jinping:

o

- a. **Belt and Road Initiative (BRI):** The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), a vast international infrastructure development initiative spearheaded by China, was introduced in 2013. It seeks to improve investment, trade, and connectivity between Asia, Europe, and Africa. The Maritime Silk Road (sea-based routes) and the Silk Road Economic Belt (land-based routes) are important parts. The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) entails the construction of ports, pipelines, highways, railroads, and other infrastructure to support cultural and economic interchange.
- b. **Outward Investment:** In an effort to gain market access and strategic assets, President Xi urged Chinese businesses to make overseas investments. Chinese businesses made investments in industries like manufacturing, real estate, technology, and energy.
- c. **Economic Inducements:** China started to deal with territorial disputes and gain diplomatic recognition through the use of diplomatic incentives, such as providing

trade, investment, and development assistance to nations who accept the One China policy, which recognized Taiwan as a part of China.

2. Effectiveness of the economic statecraft:

Tariffs and export restrictions were put in place under the Trump administration as a means of resolving trade imbalances with China. They did, however, have a mixed effect: When tariffs were placed on Chinese imports, it caused a rift in international supply chains. Businesses have to locate new suppliers and reassess their procurement plans. The imposition of tariff on China also saw a limited behavioral change in China. China's general conduct did not significantly change in response to the tariffs. China maintained its economic policies and practices notwithstanding difficulties faced by certain industries. Despite having certain consequences, these actions did not significantly alter China's conduct as a whole. With ramifications for both the economy and geopolitics, the complicated relationship between the United States and China continues to be a source of difficulty.

The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), spearheaded by President Xi Jinping, has had a major impact on China's economic and worldwide power. China has increased its worldwide footprint as a result of the BRI and its effects. But there have been difficulties with the BRI. Geopolitically, questions have been raised about China's goals and sway. Some nations see China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) as a calculated strategy to bolster its influence globally. China has increased its outward investment substantially, which has increased its economic influence globally. China's ability to penetrate international markets economically has been made possible by its investments in industries, technology, and infrastructure.

3. Impact on Economic Growth and Stability:

Trade tensions were brought about by the Trump administration's trade policy, which included tariffs on Chinese goods. Although the goal of these actions was to rectify trade imbalances, they had unexpected effects. Supply chains were thrown off, creating uncertainty for investors and businesses were created due to trade concerns. The world economy fluctuated as nations responded to increases in tariffs, businesses started to have difficulties as a result of broken supply chains. Alternative sourcing sources had to be found by exporters and manufacturers, which affected distribution and output.

Regarding China, the Belt and Road Initiative is a vast infrastructure development project that has improved connection throughout many regions. China has made investments in participating nations to construct ports, railroads, highways, and other vital infrastructure. This has improved connectivity between Asia, Europe, and Africa by accelerating infrastructure development and facilitating trade, communication, and economic collaboration. The BRI encourages trade and cross-cultural interaction by improving transportation networks. However, due to worries about debt reliance, the BRI has come under fire. These projects have resulted in significant debt accumulation for certain participating countries. It's still difficult to strike a balance between the financial advantages of infrastructure expansion and debt sustainability. China's economy has kept growing due to changes in technology, investment, and domestic consumption. Sustained growth is facilitated by the emergence of the Chinese middle class, technological innovation, and strong manufacturing sectors. However, geopolitical conflicts are been endure in spite of economic

growth. Consequently, President Xi's initiatives have had a major influence on China's worldwide footprint through the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI).

4. Unintended Consequences and Spillover Effects of both Trump's and Xi's policies:

Trump Administration enhanced Uncertainty for Investors and Businesses. The enactment of tariffs and trade disputes led to unpredictability in international markets. Companies had to deal with erratic trade laws that affected supply chains and investment choices. Investors encountered difficulties because of volatile stock markets and unreliable economic circumstances. The trade dispute between China and US has effect on diplomatic relations outside trade. The hostilities between the US and China went beyond disagreements over trade. Diplomatic ties have been strained by geopolitical issues like cybersecurity and territorial disputes. The ongoing trade war has repercussions for wider global affairs, impacting collaboration and alliances.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The Economic Statecraft of China in the context of Xi Jinping, Beijing's use of economic statecraft has come to light as a result of President Xi Jinping's emphasis on national security. In contrast to Deng Xiaoping, whose strategy placed more emphasis on the use of economic tools to achieve security objectives, Xi places more emphasis on the use of these tools to promote economic development. China has always used economic statecraft as a weapon in its foreign policy arsenal. The trade war affected companies and consumers globally by having a substantial impact on manufacturing, supply chains, and technology. Given the significant political and economic divide between the two nations, tensions still exist even after a partial truce was declared with the signing of the Phase One trade agreement in January 2020.

China's economic diplomacy gained prominence in the 2000s, particularly in the wake of its relative success during the 2008–2009 financial crises. Beijing changed its policy to adopt a more assertive and ambitious approach to economic statecraft. The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), a worldwide endeavor raising geopolitical worries, is one example of the programs that Xi Jinping accelerated. Key economic objectives, such as lowering dependency on the US and the global economy, are what motivate China's business endeavors abroad. While, through a variety of tactics, such as trade policy and tariffs, the US pursued economic statecraft under President Trump. The goal of using tariffs, like the ones placed on China during the trade war, was to defend American businesses and rectify what was thought to be unfair trade practices. Trump's government also prioritized industrial policies that stressed home manufacturing and decreased dependency on imports, such as "Made in America" and "America First." Nevertheless, these actions strained relations with trading partners and sparked doubts about the sustainability of the system of international trade. In conclusion, both leaders used economic statecraft to further the objectives of their nations, but they did so in very different ways. China under Xi Jinping pursued large-scale international projects, whereas the US under Trump concentrated on home manufacturing and protectionist policies. The implications of Presidents Trump and Xi's economic statecraft decisions on future U.S - China relations ranges from China's Transition from National Security to consumption, there is already a big ramification to Xi Jinping's emphasis on shifting China's economy from export and investment to consumption and national security is now Xi and his administration's top strategic priority as China changes. Xi is more inclined to embrace geopolitical

risks in order to uphold China's territorial claims, even if doing so results in instability in the region. Xi contends that national security should take precedence over economic considerations and China's new laws give security precedence over financial gain. Under Xi's leadership, comprehensive military reforms have strengthened party control over the armed forces.

The repercussions of Trump's sanctions on China are the Hegemonic Power Transition which is the unilateral negotiation techniques resulted from growing competition between the United States and China and the authoritarian rise in China. U.S. policymakers and business leaders have become frustrated with Xi's strong foreign policy, which undermined prospects of China's liberal evolution. The bipartisan Consensus, Washington's aggressive trade policy was influenced by bipartisan backing in Congress for a harder stance on China. It is crucial to consider whether China's economic growth under Xi Jinping can be sustained. As China's economy expands, there are a few things to keep in mind: Environmental challenges have arisen as a result of China's growing urbanization and industrialization. It's still difficult to strike a balance between environmental sustainability and economic growth. China's debt levels, namely those of corporations and local governments, have experienced a notable increase even while it is crucial to ensure sustainable debt management. Long-term economic growth may be impacted by an aging population and a declining labor force. China's sustainability will also depend on its capacity to innovate and shift from an economy centered on manufacturing to one centered on knowledge. China's increasing influence has broad ramifications: Asia's regional power balances are influenced by China's military and economic might. Countries that are adjacent must manage this influence. Trade routes and investment patterns across the world are shaped by China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and economic ties; Global governance is impacted by China's participation in multilateral organizations such as the World Trade Organization and the United Nations. There are security concerns as the dynamics of regional security change as China's military prowess increases.

Stability depends on the United States and China creating a common strategic narrative. It is crucial to strike a balance between collaboration in areas like pandemic response and climate change and competitiveness in areas like technology and security. Both countries profit when the relationship is seen as win-win rather than zero-sum. Encouraging communication and comprehension aids in avoiding misunderstandings and incorrect computations. In conclusion, strategic communication, sustainable growth, and regional influence are essential for the future of U.S.-China ties.

References

Aggarwal, V. K. & Reddie, A. (2021). Economic Statecraft in the 21st Century: Implications for the future of the global trade regime. *World Trade Review*, 20(2), 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s147474562000049x>.

..... (2021). Economic Statecraft in the 21st Century: Implications for the future of the global trade regime. *World Trade Review*, 20(2), 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s147474562000049x>.

An, J. & Wang, Y. (2023). The impact of the Belt and Road Initiative on Chinese international political influence: An Empirical study using a Difference-in-Differences approach. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11366-023-09860-4>.

Chivvis, C. S. & Kapstein, E. (2022). *U.S. Strategy and Economic Statecraft: Understanding the Tradeoffs*. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. <https://carnegieendowment.org/2022/04/28/u.s.-strategy-and-economic-statecraft-understanding-tradeoffs-pub-86995>.

Hua, S. & Zeng, K. (2022). The US–China trade war: economic statecraft, multinational corporations, and public opinion. *Business and Politics*, 24(4), 319–331. <https://doi.org/10.1017/bap.2022.18>.

Norris, W. J. (2017). Geostrategic implications of China's twin economic challenges. *Council on Foreign Relations*. <https://www.cfr.org/report/geostrategic-implications-chinas-twin-economic-challenges>.

The Bush School at Texas A&M. (2021, July 28). *What is Economic Statecraft?* • The Bush School of Government & Public Service. The Bush School of Government & Public Service. <https://bush.tamu.edu/economic-statecraft/what-is-economic-statecraft/#:~:text=Economic%20statecraft%2C%20as%20we%20think,to%20the%20state's%20strategic%20interests>.

Tsuji, C. (2023). *Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) / Progress, Impact, China, & Facts*. Encyclopedia Britannica. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Belt-and-Road-Initiative>.

Wong, A. (2019). China's economic statecraft under Xi Jinping. *Brookings*. <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/chinas-economic-statecraft-under-xi-jinping/>