

THE PENINSULA SHIELD FORCE: THE MILITARY FORCE OF THE PERSIAN GULF

Aishatu Abdullahi

Department of Political Science and International Relations,
University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria

Abstract

*The Peninsula Shield Force (PSF), the joint military arm of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), has emerged as a key security architecture in the Persian Gulf since its establishment in 1984. Created in response to regional instabilities such as the Iran-Iraq War, the PSF has played significant roles in security operations including the Kuwait Liberation and the Bahrain intervention. This study examines the historical development, operational engagements, and strategic significance of the PSF. Grounded in the **Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT)**. The research employs a **qualitative methodology** based on case study analysis and content review of secondary data including academic literature, policy papers, and regional reports. It interrogates the PSF's effectiveness as a mechanism of collective security, assesses its role in regional power dynamics, and offers policy-oriented recommendations.*

Keywords: *The Peninsula; Peninsula Shield; Peninsula Shield Force; Persian Gulf; Military Force*

Introduction

The Persian Gulf has historically been a geopolitical hotspot due to its strategic location and vast hydrocarbon resources. The establishment of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) in 1981 was a regional response to the turbulent events of the time, including the Iranian Revolution and the Iran-Iraq War. The Peninsula Shield Force (PSF), created in 1984 as the military arm of the GCC, was tasked with collective defense and rapid military response to threats facing any member state. While initially modest in size and capability, the PSF's role has evolved, notably during the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait in 1990 and more recently, the 2011 intervention in Bahrain. This paper critically assesses the formation, structure, operational engagements, and strategic significance of the PSF.

Statement of the Problem

Despite being a pioneering initiative in regional military cooperation among Arab Gulf states, the Peninsula Shield Force remains underexamined in academic discourse. Questions persist regarding its operational effectiveness, political cohesion among GCC member states, and its relevance in light of evolving regional threats, such as Iranian expansionism, civil unrest, and non-state actors. The lack of transparency, divergent national interests, and limited operational autonomy raise concerns about the PSF's strategic value.

Research Questions

1. What are the historical and strategic imperatives that led to the formation of the Peninsula Shield Force?
2. How effective has the Peninsula Shield Force been in fulfilling its mandate of regional security, particularly during engagements such as the Kuwait Liberation and the Bahrain intervention?
3. What are the limitations and prospects of the PSF as a collective security mechanism in the Persian Gulf?

Objectives of the Study

1. To analyze the origins, structure, and strategic rationale behind the creation of the PSF.
2. To assess the PSF's operational effectiveness through critical case studies.
3. To identify the challenges impeding its performance and offer practical policy recommendations.

Conceptual Review

Collective Security: The PSF exemplifies the concept of collective security, where an attack on one member is considered an attack on all. This concept, central to alliances like NATO, has varying degrees of implementation within the GCC.

Military Regionalism: Regional security alliances among geographically proximate nations aimed at fostering political stability and defense cooperation, as exemplified by the PSF.

Sovereignty and Regionalism: The tension between national sovereignty and regional commitments is central to understanding the limitations of the PSF.

2.1. Genesis of the Peninsula Shield Force

According to Al-Rasheed (2010), the GCC's creation and subsequent military collaboration were responses to perceived Iranian and Iraqi threats. Zhang (2017) argue that Gulf monarchies pursued PSF not only for security but also to balance internal legitimacy. The PSF was originally composed of 5,000 troops, mainly Saudi, stationed at the King Khalid Military City.

2.2. The Kuwait Liberation War (1990-1991)

During Iraq's invasion of Kuwait in 1990, the PSF did not play a primary combat role. However, as F. Gregory Gause (1994) notes, the PSF supported coalition forces logistically, raising questions about its independent capacity. Kuwaiti scholar Al-Kuwari (2002) argues that the Gulf War exposed the weaknesses of intra-GCC military cooperation. Conversely, Hiro (1991) contends that the PSF's presence helped legitimize the broader international coalition.

2.3. Bahrain Intervention (2011)

The PSF's deployment to Bahrain during the Arab Spring was a turning point. It was criticized as suppressing a popular uprising rather than addressing an external threat. Bahrain-based scholar Madawi Al-Rasheed (2012) states that this mission redefined the PSF's role from external defense to regime security. Aljazeera (2011) and Human Rights Watch criticized this move as a violation of the GCC's original defensive mandate.

2.4. Internal Dynamics and Limitations

A key critique of the PSF is the lack of a unified command structure and political will. According to Kamrava (2015), divergent political agendas and military asymmetry among

GCC states hinder the PSF's operational integration. Singaporean analyst Tan (2019) argues that institutional weaknesses and over-reliance on Saudi Arabia limit the PSF's credibility.

2.5. Evolving Role and Future Prospects

More recent literature highlights the PSF's efforts to modernize and enhance interoperability. Gulf analyst Ulrichsen (2020) points to joint exercises such as "Isnad" as evidence of renewed momentum. Iranian scholar Haghshenass (2018) warns that unless the PSF becomes autonomous and professionalized, it risks obsolescence amid growing Iranian and Turkish regional ambitions.

Theoretical Framework

This study adopts the **Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT)** developed by Barry Buzan and Ole Wæver. RSCT posits that regions form distinct security dynamics, shaped by geographical proximity and shared threats. The Persian Gulf, characterized by interdependence and rivalry, is a classic regional security complex. The PSF, thus, represents an attempt by GCC states to institutionalize regional security autonomously.

Methodology

This research adopts a **qualitative methodology** utilizing a case study approach. Data were drawn from secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journals, policy papers, and regional security reports. Content analysis was employed to assess the narratives around the PSF's engagements and institutional evolution.

Findings and Discussion

4.1. Political Utility Over Military Prowess Findings suggest the PSF serves more as a political symbol than an effective military force. Its interventions are driven by political consensus rather than military strategy.

4.2. Operational Constraints The PSF's operational capabilities remain limited. During the Kuwait conflict, it deferred to U.S.-led forces. In Bahrain, the force acted more as an internal policing tool than a regional defense army.

4.3. Regional Rivalries and External Pressures The Iran-Saudi rivalry heavily influences PSF deployment decisions. The force is increasingly seen as an instrument of Saudi hegemony within the GCC.

4.4. Lack of Institutional Autonomy Unlike NATO, the PSF lacks an independent command. Military decisions are made on an ad hoc basis, undermining its deterrent value.

Summary, Conclusion, and Recommendations

5.1. Summary

This paper critically examined the Peninsula Shield Force within the broader context of Persian Gulf security. It traced the PSF's formation, evaluated its engagements in Kuwait and Bahrain, and assessed its strategic value. The force has been largely reactive, with limited autonomy or combat capacity.

5.2. Conclusion

The PSF embodies the aspirations of regional collective security but has consistently fallen short of its stated goals. Political fragmentation, asymmetrical military capacities, and

divergent national interests dilute its effectiveness. Unless structural reforms are undertaken, the PSF will remain a symbolic institution.

5.3. Recommendations

Institutional Reform: Establish a permanent headquarters with an independent command structure.

Capacity Building: Invest in joint training and interoperability to reduce reliance on external actors.

Strategic Clarity: Redefine PSF's mandate to balance external defense and internal stability.

Inclusive Dialogue: Incorporate all GCC states equitably to prevent perceived domination by one member.

Academic Engagement: Promote research on PSF operations to inform policy and build strategic culture.

References

Aljazeera. (2011). Gulf troops enter Bahrain to help put down unrest. *Aljazeera News*.

Al-Kuwwari, A. (2002). *The GCC States and the Challenges of the Twenty-First Century*. London: Saqi Books.

Al-Rasheed, M. (2010). *A History of Saudi Arabia*. Cambridge University Press.

Al-Rasheed, M. (2012). Sectarianism as Counter-Revolution: Saudi Responses to the Arab Spring. *Studies in Ethnicity and Nationalism*, 11(3), 513–526.

Gause, F. G. (1994). *Oil Monarchies: Domestic and Security Challenges in the Arab Gulf States*. Council on Foreign Relations Press. Haghshenass,

F. (2018). Iran's asymmetric military doctrine. *Middle East Institute*.

Hiro, D. (1991). *The Longest War: The Iran-Iraq Military Conflict*. Routledge.

Kamrava, M. (2015). *The Impossibility of a Unified GCC Military*. Middle East Institute.

Tan, S. (2019). The Politics of Military Cooperation in the Gulf. *Asian Journal of Middle Eastern Studies*, 13(2), 45–65.

Ulrichsen, K. C. (2020). *Insecure Gulf: The End of Certainty and the Transition to the Post-Oil Era*. Oxford University Press.

Zhang, H. (2017). Security Regionalism in the Gulf: Beyond Sectarian Narratives. *Journal of Asian Security*, 5(4), 321–345.