

THE SIGNIFICANCE AND APPLICATION OF THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK IN SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH

Ebiae Eroure Humphrey

Department of International Relations and Diplomacy

Baze University

Phone: +234 8095 256300

Abstract

Social science researches are solidly built on theories because it provides the required foundational structure that guides inquires, shapes interpretation, and connects empirical findings to broader bodies of knowledge. In spite of their importance, most scholars struggle with selecting, applying, and justifying theoretical frameworks. This seminar paper analyses the significance, functions, and practical applications of theoretical frameworks in social science research. Drawing on multidisciplinary literature, the study highlights how theoretical frameworks enhance coherence, illuminate relationships among variables, support methodological choices, and situate findings within existing scholarship. The seminar adopts qualitative content-analysis methodology to synthesize insights from established authors in sociology, psychology, political science, anthropology, and relevant disciplines. Theoretical perspectives including, interpretivism, constructivism, critical theory, and positivism are examined to illustrate how theory structures knowledge production. The findings show that using theoretical frameworks well makes research clearer, more rigorous, more valid, and better at explaining results. The paper concludes that theoretical frameworks are indispensable for generating credible, generalizable, and intellectually grounded social science research. Recommendation highlight the need for improved theoretical knowledge, improve teaching strategies, and structured mentorship for early-career researchers.

Keywords: Social Science; Science Research; Theory; Theoretical Framework; Empirical Research

Introduction;

Theory holds a pivotal place in the growth and progression of social science research. Whether a study investigates human behavior, political institutions, cultural practices, economic change, or social inequality, the theoretical foundation guides the researcher in framing the problem, formulating hypotheses, choosing methods, and interpreting findings. According to Creswell and Creswell (2018), theory serves as the lens through which the researcher views the world and makes sense of the phenomena under investigation. Without a theoretical framework, social science research risks becoming descriptive, theoretical, or disconnected from cumulative scientific knowledge. Theoretical frameworks on the other hand articulate the assumptions that underpin the research process. They provide direction by clarifying what is known, what is unknown, and what

should be investigated (Neuman, 2014). They also help researchers reduce conceptual ambiguity, establish the study's boundaries, and clarify the relationships among variables. Additionally, theoretical frameworks guide the development of research questions, the operationalization of key constructs, and the choice of suitable methodological approaches.

Although these functions are well established, many postgraduate and doctoral students still struggle identification and apply appropriate theoretical frameworks. This difficulty stems from limited exposure to theory, overreliance on formulaic research templates, and misunderstanding of how theory integrates with methodology (Grant & Osanloo, 2014). Given the increasing complexity of social science phenomena—ranging from globalization to digital transformation—there is greater demand for theoretically grounded research that can produce meaningful, valid, and sustainable knowledge. This paper therefore analyses the significance and application of theoretical frameworks in social science research. It discusses the meaning of theoretical frameworks, their components, their roles, and their relationship to conceptual frameworks. Also it evaluates various theoretical traditions that shape social inquiry. This work aims to strengthen theoretical competence among researchers and contribute to a deeper understanding of how theory enhances scholarly task.

Literature Review

A theory is a systematic set of concepts, propositions, and assumptions that explain relationships among phenomena (Kerlinger & Lee, 2000). Theoretical frameworks, therefore, are the underlying structures that organize a study's concepts and guide its logical progression (Ravitch & Riggan, 2017). Maxwell (2013) notes that a theoretical framework is not merely a summary of theories but a coherent structure that aligns with the research purpose. Researchers have outlined a number of significant functions of theoretical frameworks as follows (Ravitch and Riggan, 2017):

1. Guiding Research Questions: Theory shapes inquiry by highlighting gaps and proposing causal mechanisms (Creswell, 2014).
2. Clarifying Constructs: Theoretical frameworks define how variables or concepts are understood in the study (Punch, 2014).
3. Informing Methodology: Theory helps determine whether a study should use qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 2010).
4. Supporting Analysis: Theoretical assumptions guide data interpretation and meaning-making (Patton, 2015).
5. Ensuring Coherence: They unify research arguments and prevent conceptual drift (Grant & Osanloo, 2014).

Distinguishing Theoretical and Conceptual Frameworks

Although theoretical and conceptual frameworks are distinct concepts, both play essential roles in guiding research. Theoretical framework draws from existing theories with established empirical support. Conceptual framework synthesizes variables, concepts, or assumptions tailored for the specific study (Miles et al., 2014). There are difficulties or challenges faced by scholars in the application of academic theories. Common challenges include:

1. Difficulties in identifying relevant theories.
2. Inadequate understanding of theoretical traditions.
3. Overuse of borrowed or inappropriate theories
4. Inability to link theory with methodology
5. Insufficient training in theory construction (Ravitch & Riggan, 2017)

Methodology

This seminar paper employs a qualitative research design, specifically documentary analysis and content analysis of scholarly texts. The methodology is grounded in the interpretive paradigm, which emphasizes understanding meanings embedded in academic literature (Bowen, 2009).

Theoretical Framework

This section explores examples of prominent theoretical traditions in social science research and how they shape the structure and interpretation of studies.

Positivism;

Positivism asserts that reality is objective and measurable. Researchers adopt structured designs, hypotheses, and quantitative methods. Theories such as rational choice theory and modernization theory often stem from positivist traditions (Neuman, 2014).

Interpretivism;

Interpretivists argue that social reality is constructed through human interactions. Theoretical perspectives include symbolic interactionism, phenomenology, and ethnomethodology (Schwandt, 2015). These guide qualitative approaches such as interviews, ethnography, and narrative studies.

Critical Theory;

Critical theorists emphasize power, inequality, and emancipatory knowledge. Theories such as Marxism, feminist theory, and postcolonial theory fall under this tradition (Kincheloe & McLaren, 2011). They influence methodologies that challenge dominant ideologies.

Constructivism;

Constructivism emphasizes the co-construction of knowledge between researchers and participants. It guides grounded theory, case studies, and participatory research (Charmaz, 2014).

Systems Theory and Structural Functionalism;

Rooted in sociology, systems theory views society as interconnected structures. It guides organizational studies, development planning, and policy analysis (Parsons, 1951).

This paper however, adopts critical theory as its theoretical framework. This is firmly because, a theory is critically constructed without prejudices, one can be critical as well in the application of a theory with the leverage to navigate to all-outstanding propositions.

The Role of Theoretical framework in research:

Theoretical framework determines: The unit of analysis, the causal pathways, how variables are operationalized, and the interpretation of results. Researchers must justify their theoretical choices, demonstrating alignment with research problems and existing scholarship.

Findings and Discussion

Content analysis show that theory helps make research more coherent. All the scholars reviewed agree that theory provides structure, and without it, studies tend to be merely descriptive and shallow. Theoretical Frameworks helps in strengthening validity, as theories allow researchers to justify how and why relationships exist, enhancing internal validity (Punch, 2014). Theory guides methodological decisions, which imply that theoretical assumptions influence whether a researcher adopts qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods. More so, theory enhances interpretative lenses that help make sense of complex social phenomena. The literature indicates a persistent struggle among postgraduate students in selecting and applying theoretical frameworks due to limited exposure, poorly structured curricula, and inadequate supervision.

Theory is important because it helps explain, predict, and interpret issues. A theoretical framework adds intellectual depth by connecting research to wider social discussions. Without theory, research findings lack context and meaning.

The alignment between theoretical orientation and methodology is critical. For instance, a study guided by symbolic interactionism should employ methods that capture subjective meanings, such as in-depth interviews. Conversely, a positivist framework aligns with experiments or surveys. Misalignment can lead to methodological confusion.

However, social sciences benefit from diverse theoretical traditions. This pluralism allows researchers to adopt multiple perspectives and enrich their analysis, it also demands a high level of theoretical literacy.

Conclusion;

Theoretical frameworks are indispensable to social science research. They enhance clarity, coherence, and validity by defining constructs, guiding methodological choices, and providing interpretive lenses. The paper shows that theory is not just an academic formality but a core element that guides how researchers understand, investigate, and explain social phenomena. However, despite its importance, many emerging researchers still struggle to select and apply appropriate theoretical frameworks. Addressing these gaps requires improved instructional approach, mentorship, and institutional support. Ultimately, rigorous use of theoretical frameworks contributes to the advancement of knowledge and strengthens the scientific quality of social science research.

Recommendations

The following recommendations can improve the application of theoretical framework in social science research:

1. Strengthen Theoretical Training: Universities should incorporate rigorous theory-focused courses in postgraduate programs.
2. Mentorship: Supervisors should mentor students in theory selection and application.
3. Workshops and Seminars: Regular academic workshops on theory-building should be institutionalized.
4. Encourage Interdisciplinary Thinking: Researchers should be trained to draw from multiple theoretical traditions.
5. Promote Reflective Research Practice: Students should be encouraged to critically reflect on how their theoretical choices shape their findings.
6. Access to Literature: Institutions should improve access to academic databases to enhance theoretical literacy

References;

- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Charmaz, K. (2014). *Constructing grounded theory* (2nd ed.). SAGE.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design* (5th ed.). SAGE.
- Grant, C., & Osanloo, A. (2014). Understanding, selecting, and integrating a theoretical framework in dissertation research. *Administrative Issues Journal*, 4(2), 12–26.
- Kincheloe, J. L., & McLaren, P. (2011). Rethinking critical theory and qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. Lincoln (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 163–177). SAGE.
- Kerlinger, F. N., & Lee, H. (2000). *Foundations of behavioral research* (4th ed.). Harcourt.
- Maxwell, J. A. (2013). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach* (3rd ed.). SAGE.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis* (3rd ed.). SAGE.
- Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Parsons, T. (1951). *The social system*. Free Press.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- Punch, K. (2014). *Introduction to social research* (3rd ed.). SAGE.

Ravitch, S. M., & Riggan, M. (2017). Reason and rigor: How conceptual frameworks guide research (2nd ed.). SAGE.

Schwandt, T. A. (2015). The SAGE dictionary of qualitative inquiry (4th ed.). SAGE.

Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2010). Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches. SAGE.