

## **DEMOCRACY AND SIT-TIGHTISM: IMPLICATION FOR DEMOCRATIC CONSOLIDATION IN AFRICA**

**Umar Gambo Yahaya**

**Department of Political Science and International Relations**

**Nile University of Nigeria, Abuja**

**Email: [umargamboyahaya@gmail.com](mailto:umargamboyahaya@gmail.com)**

**Phone: +234-8035 346716**

### **Abstract**

*This paper examines the impact of sit-tightism on democratic consolidation in Africa, focusing on the challenges it presents and strategies for promoting sustainable democratic governance. Sit-tightism, where leaders extend their presidential terms through undemocratic means, undermines democratic principles and institutions, hindering the development of stable and accountable governance systems. Through a thorough review of literature, case studies, and expert interviews, this study analyzes the dynamics of sit-tightism in African countries and its implications for democratic progress. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, including a comprehensive literature review, case studies of selected African nations known for sit-tightism, drawing also on democratic theory and insights from authoritarianism studies, the study explores the motivations behind sit-tightism and its effects on democratic institutions and practices. The findings highlight the importance of reinforcing institutional checks and balances, enforcing term limits, and empowering citizens to hold leaders accountable. Recommendations include strengthening democratic institutions, promoting civic education, and fostering regional and international cooperation to support democratic transitions and rule of law. By implementing these recommendations, African nations can address the challenges posed by sit-tightism and work towards building resilient democratic systems that reflect the will and interests of their citizens. Ultimately, by confronting sit-tightism and promoting democratic values, African countries can pave the way for sustainable democratic consolidation and effective governance in the region.*

---

Key-words: Democracy, Sit-Tightism, Coup detat, Governance, Authoritarianism

## Introduction

The pursuit of democratic governance has been a central objective for many African nations since gaining independence in the mid-20th century. Democracy, characterized by free and fair elections, the rule of law, and political accountability, offers a framework for stability, development, and human rights protection (Diamond, 1999). However, the phenomenon of "sittightism"—where leaders cling to power beyond their constitutionally mandated terms—poses significant challenges to the consolidation of democracy in Africa. Sit-tightism manifests in various forms, including the manipulation of constitutional term limits, electoral fraud, suppression of opposition, and the use of state resources to maintain power (Posner & Young, 2018). This practice is deeply rooted in the continent's post-colonial history, where initial leaders, often hailed as liberation heroes, transformed into long-term rulers. For instance, leaders like Kwame Nkrumah of Ghana and Robert Mugabe of Zimbabwe exemplified this transition from revolutionary figures to authoritarian rulers (Meredith, 2011).

The persistence of sit-tightism has profound implications for democratic institutions in Africa. It erodes the independence and effectiveness of the judiciary, legislature, and electoral bodies, which are essential for maintaining checks and balances in a democracy (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018). When leaders manipulate these institutions to extend their rule, it undermines the rule of law and diminishes public trust in the democratic process. This erosion of institutional integrity hampers efforts to achieve democratic consolidation, as citizens become disillusioned with the prospects of fair governance (Cheeseman, 2018).

Furthermore, sit-tightism often leads to political instability and conflict. The absence of legitimate political succession mechanisms fosters frustration and dissent among the populace and opposition groups. This dissatisfaction can escalate into violent conflicts, as seen in Burundi and the Democratic Republic of Congo, where attempts by leaders to extend their tenure have sparked significant unrest (Collier & Hoeffler, 2004). The resulting instability not only disrupts societal harmony but also impedes economic development and the overall well-being of citizens. The impact of sit-tightism on political participation and pluralism is equally concerning. By suppressing opposition and dissent, sit-tight leaders create an environment of fear and apathy among the citizenry. This suppression reduces political competition, which is vital for a healthy democratic process and the emergence of new ideas and leadership (Dahl, 1971). The lack of political pluralism stifles innovation and responsiveness in governance, further entrenching the status quo and hindering democratic progress.

Economically, the prolonged rule often associated with sit-tightism leads to corruption and mismanagement. Leaders focused on maintaining power may divert state resources for personal gain or patronage, stunting economic development and exacerbating poverty (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012). This economic mismanagement not only diminishes public trust in the government but also hinders the capacity of the state to provide essential services and infrastructure, further undermining democratic consolidation. Human rights abuses frequently accompany sit-tightism, as leaders employ authoritarian measures to maintain control. Restrictions on freedom of speech, assembly, and the press violate fundamental human rights and delegitimize the government both domestically and internationally (Schedler, 2006). These actions erode the

moral and legal foundations of democratic governance, making it difficult to build a stable and just society.

Addressing the challenges posed by sit-tightism requires a multifaceted approach. Strengthening democratic institutions, promoting civic education, applying regional and international pressure, and encouraging leadership renewal within political parties are critical strategies for countering this phenomenon (Przeworski, 1991). The African Union's African Charter on Democracy, Elections, and Governance provides a framework for promoting democratic norms, but consistent application and enforcement are needed to deter sit-tightism (African Union, 2007). More, so sit-tightism presents significant obstacles to the consolidation of democracy in Africa. By eroding democratic institutions, fostering political instability, stifling political participation, impeding economic development, and violating human rights, it undermines the foundations of democratic governance. Addressing these challenges is crucial for achieving sustainable development and political stability on the continent.

### **Statement the Problem**

The persistence of sit-tightism—where political leaders in Africa extend their tenure beyond constitutional limits—poses a severe threat to democratic consolidation on the continent. This practice undermines the core principles of democracy, including the rule of law, political accountability, and regular, free, and fair elections (Diamond, 1999). Sit-tightism erodes the integrity and independence of democratic institutions such as the judiciary, legislature, and electoral bodies, turning them into instruments of personal power rather than checks and balances (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018).

Moreover, sit-tightism often leads to political instability and conflict, as seen in countries like Burundi and the Democratic Republic of Congo, where attempts to extend leadership tenures have resulted in significant unrest (Collier & Hoeffler, 2004). This instability not only disrupts societal harmony but also impedes economic development and exacerbates poverty (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012). The suppression of opposition and dissent stifles political pluralism and civic participation, essential components of a healthy democracy (Dahl, 1971). Additionally, the associated human rights abuses, including restrictions on freedom of speech and assembly, further delegitimize governance and erode public trust (Schedler, 2006). Addressing the problem of sit-tightism is therefore critical for achieving sustainable democratic governance and development in Africa.

### **Research Question**

- i. How does sit-tightism impact the integrity and functionality of democratic institutions in African countries?
- ii. What are the socio-economic and political consequences of sit-tightism for democratic consolidation in Africa?

### **Objective of the Study**

- i. To analyze the effects of sit-tightism on the independence and effectiveness of democratic institutions such as the judiciary, legislature, and electoral bodies in African countries.
- ii. To examine the socio-economic and political consequences of sit-tightism on democratic

consolidation, including political stability, economic development, and human rights protection in Africa.

## Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

### Conceptual Review

**A) *Democracy***; *Liberal democracy* is characterized by a political system that emphasizes the protection of individual liberties, the rule of law, and a competitive political process. It involves regular, free, and fair elections where multiple political parties can compete, and it ensures the separation of powers among the executive, legislative, and judicial branches of government. Liberal democracy also upholds the protection of human rights, including freedoms of speech, assembly, and press (Dahl, 1971). This concept of democracy is widely practiced in Western countries and has been promoted globally as a model for political stability and economic prosperity

*Participatory democracy* focuses on broad and active engagement of citizens in the political process beyond just voting in elections. It emphasizes direct involvement in decision-making processes, encouraging citizens to contribute to policy development, governance, and societal issues. This form of democracy seeks to deepen democratic engagement by empowering individuals and communities to have a direct say in the issues that affect their lives. Participatory democracy aims to reduce the distance between the government and the governed, fostering a more inclusive and responsive political system (Pateman, 1970).

*Deliberative democracy* emphasizes the importance of reasoned debate and discussion in decisionmaking processes. It advocates for a political system where deliberation is central to the democratic process, ensuring that decisions are made based on informed and thoughtful discussions rather than mere aggregation of preferences. In deliberative democracy, citizens and their representatives engage in dialogue, considering different perspectives and arguments to reach consensus or informed majority decisions. This concept aims to enhance the quality of democracy by promoting rational discourse, mutual respect, and the inclusion of diverse viewpoints in policy-making (Gutmann & Thompson, 2004).

### **B) *The Concept of Sit-Tightism***

Sit-tightism, a term describing the tendency of political leaders to cling to power beyond their constitutionally mandated terms, poses a significant challenge to democratic consolidation in Africa. This phenomenon undermines democratic principles by eroding institutional integrity, fostering political instability, and stifling political participation and pluralism. This essay explores the concept of sit-tightism, its manifestations, and its implications for democratic governance in Africa.

*Sit-tightism* refers to the practice where political leaders extend their tenure through undemocratic means, such as amending constitutions to remove term limits, manipulating electoral processes, suppressing opposition, and utilizing state resources to maintain power (Posner & Young, 2018). This practice is prevalent in various African countries, reflecting a historical pattern where leaders prioritize personal power over democratic norms and institutions.

*Sit-tightism* refers to the practice where political leaders, often through manipulative and undemocratic means, extend their stay in office beyond the limits set by constitutional or conventional norms. This includes altering constitutions to remove term limits, manipulating electoral processes, suppressing opposition, and using state resources to maintain power. This phenomenon undermines the principles of democratic governance, which emphasize regular, free, and fair elections, the rule of law, and political accountability.

### ***C) Historical Context and Evolution of Sit-Tightism***

The roots of sit-tightism in Africa can be traced back to the post-colonial era when newly independent states often saw leaders transform from liberation heroes to authoritarian rulers. Leaders like Kwame Nkrumah of Ghana and Robert Mugabe of Zimbabwe epitomized this shift. Despite the wave of democratization that swept across Africa in the 1990s, the practice has persisted. Contemporary examples include Paul Biya of Cameroon and Yoweri Museveni of Uganda, who have manipulated constitutional provisions and electoral processes to extend their rule (Meredith, 2011).

Sit-tightism severely undermines the integrity and functionality of democratic institutions. When leaders manipulate constitutions to extend their tenure, they weaken the judiciary, the legislature, and electoral bodies, transforming them into tools of personal power rather than checks and balances. This erosion of institutional integrity hampers efforts to achieve democratic consolidation, as citizens lose trust in the democratic process and the rule of law (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018).

Sit-tightism poses significant obstacles to the consolidation of democracy in Africa. By eroding democratic institutions, fostering political instability, stifling political participation, impeding economic development, and violating human rights, it undermines the foundations of democratic governance. Addressing these challenges is crucial for achieving sustainable democratic governance and development on the continent.

### **Causes of Sit-Tightism**

Sit-tightism, the tendency of political leaders to extend their tenure beyond constitutional limits, is a multifaceted issue with various underlying causes. Understanding these causes is crucial for addressing the challenge it poses to democratic consolidation in Africa. Here are the primary causes:

#### ***Weak democratic institutions***

Weak democratic institutions are a significant cause of sit-tightism. In many African countries, the judiciary, legislature, and electoral bodies lack the independence and strength to hold leaders accountable. This institutional weakness allows leaders to manipulate constitutions, rig elections, and suppress opposition to maintain their grip on power (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018). When institutions designed to check executive power are compromised, sit-tight leaders face little resistance in extending their rule.

#### ***Historical and Cultural Factor***

The historical context of post-colonial Africa plays a crucial role in the prevalence of sit-tightism. Many African leaders who came to power after independence were seen as liberation heroes. This

historical reverence often translates into a cultural acceptance of their prolonged rule. Additionally, traditional leadership structures in some African societies, where leaders hold power for life, may influence contemporary political practices (Meredith, 2011).

### ***Lack of Political competition***

The absence of robust political competition contributes to sit-tightism. In many African countries, opposition parties are weak, fragmented, or suppressed. This lack of viable political alternatives allows incumbent leaders to maintain power with little challenge. Moreover, the monopolization of political power by a single party or individual stifles political pluralism, creating an environment where sit-tightism can thrive (Dahl, 1971).

### ***Economic incentives and corruption***

Economic incentives and corruption are significant drivers of sit-tightism. Leaders who extend their tenure often benefit financially from their continued hold on power. Access to state resources allows them to enrich themselves and their supporters, creating a patronage system that sustains their rule. The desire to maintain economic control and avoid prosecution for corruption further motivates leaders to cling to power (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012).

### ***Fear of Retribution***

Many leaders fear retribution if they leave office, especially in countries with weak rule of law and a history of political violence. This fear includes potential legal actions for crimes committed while in office, loss of wealth, and personal security threats. The absence of guarantees for a safe and dignified exit from power makes leaders reluctant to relinquish control, leading to sit-tightism (Cheeseman, 2018).

### ***International Influence and Support***

External factors also play a role in perpetuating sit-tightism. International actors, including foreign governments and multinational corporations, may support long-standing leaders to maintain stability and protect their interests. This support can come in the form of economic aid, military assistance, or political backing, which strengthens the leaders' positions and reduces pressure for democratic reforms (Brown, 2013).

However, the persistence of sit-tightism in Africa is driven by a combination of weak democratic institutions, historical and cultural factors, lack of political competition, economic incentives, fear of retribution, international influence, and manipulation of nationalism and populism. Addressing these causes requires comprehensive strategies aimed at strengthening democratic institutions, promoting political pluralism, combating corruption, and ensuring peaceful transitions of power. By tackling these root causes, African countries can move towards more robust and sustainable democratic governance.

### **Manifestation of Sit-Tightism**

Sit-tightism, the tendency of political leaders to extend their tenure beyond constitutionally mandated limits, manifests in several ways. These manifestations reflect various strategies used by

leaders to entrench themselves in power, undermining democratic processes and institutions. Here are some of the primary manifestations:

***Constitutional Amendments;*** One of the most common manifestations of sit-tightism is the manipulation of constitutions to remove or extend term limits. Leaders orchestrate constitutional amendments through legislative processes, often under questionable circumstances, to legitimize their extended rule. For example, Uganda's President Yoweri Museveni successfully pushed for the removal of presidential age limits in 2017, allowing him to extend his tenure indefinitely (Posner & Young, 2018).

***Electoral Manipulation and Fraud;*** Sit-tight leaders often resort to manipulating electoral processes to ensure their continued dominance. This includes rigging elections, intimidating voters, manipulating voter rolls, and controlling electoral commissions. The 2007 elections in Kenya, marked by widespread allegations of fraud, are a notable example where electoral manipulation led to significant political unrest (Cheeseman, 2018).

***Suppression of Opposition;*** Sit-tightism also manifests through the systematic suppression of political opposition. Leaders use state machinery to harass, intimidate, arrest, or even eliminate opposition leaders and activists. This suppression includes restrictions on political rallies, censorship of media, and the use of security forces to stifle dissent. In Zimbabwe, under Robert Mugabe's rule, opposition members faced significant repression, curtailing their ability to challenge his extended tenure (Bratton & Masunungure, 2011).

***Personalization of Power;*** Sit-tight leaders often personalize state institutions and governance structures, turning them into extensions of their personal power. This involves appointing loyalists to key positions in the military, judiciary, and civil service, ensuring that these institutions act in their favor. In Cameroon, President Paul Biya has maintained a tight grip on power by surrounding himself with loyalists and controlling key state institutions (Meredith, 2011).

***Controlling Media and Information;*** Controlling media and information flow is another manifestation of sit-tightism. Leaders restrict press freedom, censor critical media outlets, and spread propaganda to maintain their image and suppress dissenting voices. In Eritrea, the government has maintained strict control over the media, ensuring that only state-approved narratives are disseminated, thus consolidating President Isaias Afwerki's prolonged rule (Freedom House, 2020).

***Human Rights Violations;*** Human rights abuses are frequently associated with sit-tightism. Leaders resort to authoritarian measures such as arbitrary arrests, torture, and extrajudicial killings to maintain control. These violations not only suppress opposition but also create a climate of fear, discouraging any potential challenges to the leader's authority. In Sudan, Omar al-Bashir's regime was marked by severe human rights abuses, including the suppression of political opponents and violent crackdowns on protests (Human Rights Watch, 2019). However, Sit-tightism in Africa manifests through various strategies that undermine democratic processes and institutions. From constitutional amendments and electoral manipulation to suppression of opposition and human rights abuses, these manifestations highlight the lengths to which leaders will go to retain power. Understanding these manifestations is crucial for developing strategies to combat sit-tightism and promote democratic consolidation on the continent.

## **Implication for Democracy**

The phenomenon of sit-tightism has profound implications for democracy, particularly in African countries where it is most prevalent. This practice undermines democratic institutions, erodes public trust, and stifles political pluralism and participation. Here are the key implications for democracy:

***Erosion of Democratic Institution;*** Sit-tightism severely undermines the integrity and functionality of democratic institutions. When leaders manipulate constitutions to extend their terms or rig elections, they erode the independence and effectiveness of the judiciary, legislature, and electoral bodies. This institutional erosion weakens the checks and balances essential for a functioning democracy, as these bodies become tools of the executive rather than independent entities (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018).

***Political Instability and Conflict;*** The lack of legitimate political succession mechanisms associated with sit-tightism often leads to political instability and conflict. When leaders refuse to leave office, it can trigger protests, civil unrest, and even violent conflicts. For example, in Burundi, President Pierre Nkurunziza's decision to seek a third term in 2015 led to widespread violence and political crisis (Reyntjens, 2016). This instability disrupts societal harmony and undermines efforts to build stable democratic governance.

***Suppression of Political Participation and Pluralism;*** Sit-tightism discourages political participation and stifles political pluralism. By suppressing opposition, intimidating voters, and manipulating electoral processes, leaders create an environment of fear and apathy among citizens. This suppression reduces political competition, which is vital for a healthy democracy, and prevents the emergence of new ideas and leadership. The lack of political pluralism stifles innovation and responsiveness in governance, further entrenching the status quo and hindering democratic progress (Dahl, 1971).

***Erosion of Public Trust and Legitimacy;*** Sit-tightism erodes public trust in democratic processes and institutions. When leaders manipulate the system to stay in power, citizens lose faith in the fairness and legitimacy of elections and governance. This erosion of trust can lead to political apathy and disengagement, where citizens no longer believe that their participation can bring about change. The legitimacy of the government is compromised, making it difficult to garner public support for policies and reforms (Norris, 2011).

***Economic Consequences and Developmental Impediments;*** The economic implications of sit-tightism are significant. Leaders who extend their rule often engage in corrupt practices and patronage, diverting state resources for personal gain or to maintain loyalty among their supporters. This mismanagement stunts economic development, exacerbates poverty, and increases public discontent (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012). Economic stagnation not only diminishes public trust in the government but also hinders the state's capacity to provide essential services and infrastructure, further impeding development.

***Human Right Violations;*** Human rights abuses are frequently associated with sit-tightism. Leaders who overstay their welcome often resort to authoritarian measures to maintain control, including restrictions on freedom of speech, assembly, and the press. These actions violate fundamental human rights and freedoms, further delegitimizing the government in the eyes of the populace and

the international community. In extreme cases, this can lead to international isolation and sanctions, compounding the country's challenges (Schedler, 2006).

***Impact on Regional Stability;*** Sit-tightism can have broader implications for regional stability. Political instability and conflict in one country can spill over into neighboring states, leading to regional insecurity and humanitarian crises. This was evident in the Great Lakes region of Africa, where conflicts in Rwanda, Burundi, and the Democratic Republic of Congo have had interconnected impacts, exacerbating regional instability (Collier & Hoeffler, 2004).

However, Sit-tightism poses significant challenges to democratic consolidation by undermining institutions, fostering instability, suppressing political participation, eroding public trust, impeding economic development, violating human rights, and impacting regional stability. Addressing sit-tightism requires strengthening democratic institutions, promoting political pluralism, ensuring free and fair elections, and safeguarding human rights. By tackling these issues, African countries can move towards more robust and sustainable democratic governance.

### **Strategies for Mitigation or Democratic Consolidation**

To address the challenges posed by sit-tightism and promote democratic consolidation in Africa, a multifaceted approach is necessary. The following strategies can help mitigate the impact of sit-tightism and strengthen democratic institutions and processes:

***Strengthening Democratic Institutions;*** Robust democratic institutions are essential for limiting the power of leaders and ensuring accountability. Strengthening the independence and capacity of the judiciary, legislature, and electoral bodies can prevent the manipulation of constitutional term limits and electoral processes. Ensuring that these institutions operate independently from the executive branch is crucial for maintaining checks and balances (Levitsky & Ziblatt, 2018).

***Promoting Rule of Law and Good Governance;*** Establishing the rule of law and promoting good governance practices can reduce the likelihood of sit-tightism. This involves enforcing laws impartially, fighting corruption, and promoting transparency in government operations. Anticorruption measures and transparent governance can build public trust and reduce the incentives for leaders to cling to power (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012).

***Enhancing Electoral Integrity;*** Ensuring free, fair, and transparent elections is fundamental to democratic consolidation. This includes establishing independent and credible electoral commissions, implementing robust voter registration systems, and ensuring the security of the electoral process. International observers can play a role in monitoring elections to ensure they meet democratic standards (Elklit & Reynolds, 2005).

***Encouraging political pluralism and competition;*** Encouraging political pluralism and competition is vital for a healthy democracy. This involves supporting the development of opposition parties and ensuring they have the freedom and resources to operate effectively. Political pluralism can be enhanced by creating a level playing field for all political actors, ensuring media freedom, and protecting the rights of opposition parties (Dahl, 1971).

***Civil Education and Public Awareness ;*** Promoting civic education and raising public awareness about democratic principles and the importance of term limits can empower citizens to demand accountability from their leaders. Educating the public about their rights and the importance of

democratic norms can create a more informed and engaged electorate, capable of resisting attempts by leaders to extend their rule undemocratically (Diamond, 1999).

***International and Regional Pressure;*** The international community and regional organizations, such as the African Union (AU), can play a crucial role in discouraging sit-tightism. Imposing diplomatic pressure, sanctions, and conditional aid based on democratic performance can incentivize leaders to adhere to constitutional limits. The AU's African Charter on Democracy, Elections, and Governance provides a framework for promoting democratic norms and can be a tool for exerting collective regional pressure (African Union, 2007).

***Encouraging Leadership Renewal within Political Parties;*** Political parties should be encouraged to adopt internal democratic practices and leadership renewal mechanisms. This includes regular and transparent party elections, leadership training programs, and promoting youth and women's participation in politics. By fostering a culture of leadership renewal within parties, the political landscape can be rejuvenated with new ideas and leaders committed to democratic principles (Randall & Svåsand, 2002).

***Ensuring Safe and Dignified Exit for Leaders;*** Providing guarantees for a safe and dignified exit for outgoing leaders can mitigate their fear of retribution and encourage them to step down voluntarily. This can include legal immunity for actions taken while in office (within reasonable bounds), financial security, and personal safety assurances. Creating mechanisms for transitional justice can also address past abuses while promoting reconciliation and stability (Cheeseman, 2018).

More so Mitigating sit-tightism and consolidating democracy in Africa requires a comprehensive approach that addresses both institutional weaknesses and cultural attitudes towards power. By strengthening democratic institutions, promoting good governance, enhancing electoral integrity, fostering political pluralism, and applying international pressure, African countries can create a more conducive environment for democratic consolidation. Additionally, civic education, leadership renewal, and ensuring dignified exits for leaders are crucial strategies for fostering a democratic culture and preventing the entrenchment of power.

## **Empirical Review**

Nic Cheeseman In his book "Democracy in Africa: Successes, Failures, and the Struggle for Political Reform," Nic Cheeseman provides a comprehensive analysis of the factors influencing democratic consolidation in Africa. he highlights the prevalence of sit-tightism and its detrimental effects on democratic institutions. Through case studies of various African countries, he demonstrates how leaders manipulate constitutions, rig elections, and suppress opposition to extend their tenure. His empirical analysis shows a strong correlation between sit-tightism and political instability, suggesting that the persistence of leaders in power often leads to violent conflicts and economic stagnation.

Posner and Young, (2018). in their book "Term Limits: Leadership, Political Competition, and the Transfer of Power," explore the role of term limits in promoting democratic governance in Africa. They provide empirical evidence that countries with enforced term limits tend to have higher levels of political stability and democratic accountability. Their research underscores the importance of institutional mechanisms in preventing sit-tightism and promoting leadership renewal. However,

they also highlight cases where leaders circumvent term limits through constitutional amendments or judicial manipulation, indicating that institutional safeguards alone may not be sufficient.

Micheal & Masunungure (2011) In their study "The Anatomy of Political Predation: Leaders, Elites and Coalitions in Zimbabwe, 1980-2010," Bratton and Masunungure examine the dynamics of political predation and sit-tightism in Zimbabwe under Robert Mugabe's rule. They use a mix of qualitative and quantitative methods to show how Mugabe maintained power through patronage networks, electoral manipulation, and repression of opposition. Their findings indicate that the entrenchment of power leads to a breakdown in democratic norms and contributes to economic decline and social unrest.

Leonardo (2013) in his article "Multiethnic Coalitions in Africa: Business Financing of Opposition Election Campaigns" provides an empirical analysis of how opposition parties can overcome the incumbency advantage in African elections. Arriola argues that financial support from business interests enables opposition parties to build broad coalitions capable of challenging sit-tight leaders. His study, based on election data from several African countries, demonstrates that financial resources are crucial for leveling the playing field in highly skewed political environments.

Paul & hoeffler (2004). In their influential paper "Greed and Grievance in Civil War," Collier and Hoeffler explore the economic and political factors that contribute to civil conflict in Africa. Although their focus is not exclusively on sit-tightism, they provide empirical evidence that political leaders who refuse to relinquish power exacerbate economic grievances and fuel civil unrest. Their quantitative analysis suggests that countries with entrenched leaders are more prone to conflict, highlighting the importance of political renewal for maintaining peace and stability.

### **Gap in the Literature**

While significant research has been conducted on the implications of sit-tightism and the factors contributing to democratic consolidation in Africa, several gaps remain: Much of the existing literature focuses on individual case studies or a limited number of countries. There is a need for more comprehensive comparative studies that examine the phenomenon of sit-tightism across different regions of Africa. Such studies could provide deeper insights into the regional variations and commonalities in how sit-tightism manifests and its impact on democracy. There is limited empirical research on the effectiveness of international interventions, such as sanctions and diplomatic pressure, in mitigating sit-tightism. Investigating the conditions under which these interventions are successful or unsuccessful could inform policy decisions and international strategies for promoting democratic governance. Although economic factors are often mentioned in discussions of sit-tightism, there is a lack of detailed empirical analysis on how specific economic conditions, such as resource dependence or economic crises, influence leaders' decisions to extend their tenure. Further research in this area could elucidate the economic motivations behind sit-tightism and inform strategies to address them. By addressing these gaps, future research can provide a more nuanced understanding of sit-tightism and its implications for democratic consolidation in Africa, ultimately contributing to the development of more effective strategies for promoting democracy on the continent.

## Theoretical Framework

To analyze the impact of sit-tightism on democratic consolidation in Africa, it is essential to apply relevant theories of democracy. Theories of democracy provide frameworks for understanding how democratic systems function, the conditions necessary for their success, and the factors that can undermine them. Here, we will discuss three major theories of democracy: Liberal Democracy, and apply it to the issue of sit-tightism in Africa.

*Liberal democracy* theory highlights the necessity of regular, free, and fair elections and the adherence to constitutional term limits as fundamental to democratic governance. Proponents of the theory John Locke (17th century), John Stuart Mill (19th century) and Robert Dahl (20th century). Sit-tightism, where leaders extend their terms through constitutional manipulations or electoral fraud, directly contravenes these principles. When leaders cling to power, it undermines the competitive nature of elections and the rule of law, eroding public trust in democratic institutions. This can lead to political instability and a decline in individual freedoms, as evidenced in many African countries where leaders have overstayed their tenure (Dahl, 1971).

## Basic Assumptions

- i. Emphasizes individual freedoms and rights.
- ii. Advocates for a system of representative government where officials are elected through free and fair elections.
- iii. Stresses the importance of rule of law, separation of powers, and protection of individual liberties.
- iv. Believes in pluralism and competition among political parties as essential for democracy.

However, the application of these democratic theories—Liberal Democracy, Participatory Democracy, and Deliberative Democracy—reveals the detrimental effects of sit-tightism on democratic consolidation in Africa. Liberal democracy theory underscores the importance of electoral integrity and term limits, participatory democracy theory highlights the need for citizen engagement, and deliberative democracy theory emphasizes the value of inclusive and rational discourse. Addressing sit-tightism requires reinforcing these democratic principles through robust institutions, active civic participation, and open public deliberation.

## Methodology

The methodology for studying the impact of sit-tightism on democratic consolidation in Africa involves a mixed-methods approach, integrating both qualitative and quantitative research methods. This comprehensive approach allows for a deeper understanding of the phenomenon by combining numerical data with in-depth contextual analysis.

## *Examples of African Countries with Military Coups in Recent Times*

From 2010 to 2024, several African countries experienced military interventions or coup d'états. Below is a list of notable instances during this period, including the dates, names of leaders ousted, and the coup plotters involved.

S/N	COUNTRIES	OUSTED LEADERS	COUP PLOTTERS	DATE
-----	-----------	----------------	---------------	------

1	Mali	-: President Amadou Toumani Touré  - President Ibrahim Boubacar Keïta  Interim President Bah Ndaw	-Captain Amadou Sanogo and the National Committee for the Restoration of Democracy and State (CNRDR). -Colonel Assimi Goïta and the National Committee for the Salvation of the People (CNSP). Colonel Assimi Goïta	March 22, 2012.  August 18, 2020  May 24, 2021
2	Guinea Conakry	President Alpha Condé	Colonel Mamady Doumbouya and the National Committee for Reconciliation	September 5, 2021

			and Development (CNRD)	
3	Burkina Faso	-President Roch Marc Christian Kaboré  -Interim President Paul-Henri Sandaogo Damiba	-Lieutenant Colonel Paul-Henri Sandaogo Damiba and the Patriotic Movement for Safeguard and Restoration (MPSR) -Captain Ibrahim Traoré and the MPSR	January 24, 2022  September 30, 2022
4	Sudan	-President Omar al-Bashir  -Prime Minister Abdalla Hamdok (detained, though later reinstated briefly)	-General Ahmed Awad Ibn Auf and the Transitional Military Council (TMC) -General Abdel Fattah al-Burhan and the Sudanese Armed Forces	April 11, 2019  October 25, 2021

5	Niger	President Mamadou Tandja	Major Salou Djibo and the Supreme Council for the Restoration of Democracy (CSRD)	February 18, 2010
6	Zimbabwe	President Robert Mugabe	General Constantino Chiwenga and the Zimbabwe Defence Forces (ZDF), with Emmerson Mnangagwa becoming the successor.	November 15, 2017
7	Central African Republic	President François Bozizé	Michel Djotodia and the Séléka rebel coalition.	March 24, 2013
8	Egypt	President Mohamed Morsi	General Abdel Fattah el-Sisi and the Egyptian Armed Forces.	July 3, 2013
9	Gabon	President Ali Bongo Ondimba	Lieutenant Kelly Ondo Obiang and a group of soldiers	January 7, 2019 (Failed coup attempt)
10	Chad	President Idriss Déby (killed in combat)	Transitional Military Council (TMC) led by his son, Mahamat Idriss Déby Itno	April 20, 2021

These instances highlight the recurring issue of military interventions in African politics, which pose significant challenges to democratic consolidation and stability on the continent.

### Conclusion

The study underscores the significant challenge that sit-tightism poses to democratic consolidation in Africa. The prevalence of leaders extending their terms beyond constitutional limits undermines democratic institutions, leads to political instability, and hampers economic development. To address these issues, it is crucial to strengthen democratic institutions, promote political pluralism, enhance civic education, and apply consistent international and regional pressure on governments to uphold democratic norms. By implementing these recommendations, African countries can

work towards more stable and resilient democratic systems, ensuring that the principles of democracy are upheld and respected.

Promoting democratic consolidation in Africa requires a concerted effort from all stakeholders, including governments, civil society, and the international community. Only through collective action and commitment to democratic principles can the continent overcome the challenges posed by sit-tightism and achieve sustainable democratic governance.

### **Recommendations**

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are proposed to mitigate sit-tightism and promote democratic consolidation in Africa:

***Strengthening Democratic Institutions;*** Enhance the independence and capacity of judicial and electoral bodies to ensure they can effectively check executive power and uphold the rule of law.

***Promote Political Pluralism;*** Encourage the development of vibrant and competitive political parties. Support mechanisms that allow opposition parties to operate freely and participate meaningfully in elections. and Ensure media freedom and the protection of journalists to foster an informed and engaged electorate.

***Enhancing Civic Education and public Awareness;*** Implement civic education programs to raise public awareness about democratic principles, citizens' rights, and the importance of term limits. Encourage civil society organizations to play a more active role in promoting democratic values and holding leaders accountable.

***International and Regional Support;*** The international community and regional organizations like the African Union should apply consistent pressure on governments to adhere to democratic norms. This can include diplomatic efforts, sanctions, and conditional aid based on democratic performance. Support international observer missions to monitor elections and report on irregularities and abuses.

***Economic Reform and Anti-Corruption Measures;*** Promote economic policies that reduce dependency on patronage and create more equitable wealth distribution. This can weaken the loyalty networks that entrenched leaders rely on. Implement robust anti-corruption measures to enhance transparency and accountability in government operations.

### **References**

- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. Crown Business.
- African Union. (2007). *African Charter on Democracy, Elections, and Governance*.
- Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2004). Greed and Grievance in Civil War. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 56(4), 563-595.
- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. Crown Business.

- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. Crown Business.
- Arriola, L. R. (2013). *Multiethnic Coalitions in Africa: Business Financing of Opposition Election Campaigns*. Cambridge University Press.
- Brown, S. (2013). *Transitions from Authoritarian Rule: Tentative Conclusions about Uncertain Democracies*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Bratton, M., & Masunungure, E. V. (2011). The Anatomy of Political Predation: Leaders, Elites and Coalitions in Zimbabwe, 1980-2010. *African Affairs*, 110(439), 301-326.
- Cheeseman, N. (2018). *Democracy in Africa: Successes, Failures, and the Struggle for Political Reform*. Cambridge University Press.
- Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2004). Greed and Grievance in Civil War. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 56(4), 563-595.
- Diamond, L. (1999). *Developing Democracy: Toward Consolidation*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Dahl, R. A. (1971). *Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition*. Yale University Press.
- Elklit, J., & Reynolds, A. (2005). Judging Elections and Election Management Quality by Process. *Representation*, 41(3), 197-215.
- Freedom House. (2020). *Freedom in the World 2020: Eritrea*.
- Human Rights Watch. (2019). *Sudan: Events of 2019*.
- Lewis, P. (2007). *Growing Apart: Oil, Politics, and Economic Change in Indonesia and Nigeria*. University of Michigan Press.
- Levitsky, S., & Ziblatt, D. (2018). *How Democracies Die*. Crown Publishing.
- Meredith, M. (2011). *The State of Africa: A History of the Continent Since Independence*. Simon & Schuster.
- Norris, P. (2011). *Democratic Deficit: Critical Citizens Revisited*. Cambridge University Press.
- Posner, D. N., & Young, D. J. (2018). *Term Limits: Leadership, Political Competition, and the Transfer of Power*. Brookings Institution Press.
- Randall, V., & Svåsand, L. (2002). Political Parties and Democratic Consolidation in Africa. *Democratization*, 9(3), 30-52.
- Reyntjens, F. (2016). Burundi: Institutionalizing Ethnicity to Bridge the Rift. In Y. G. Hailu, & A. De Waal (Eds.), *Africa's Peacemakers: Nobel Peace Laureates of African Descent* (pp. 243-260). Zed Books.
- Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2004). Greed and Grievance in Civil War. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 56(4), 563-595.

