Journal of Social Science Volume 2, Issue 3, September, 2026.
website: http://contemporarychinaafjournals.com.ng/

Consociationalism, State Fragility, and Nigeria's External Image, 1999 - 2026

Cornell Okey I. Udeagwu
PhD Candidate, Department of International Relations and Diplomacy, Baze University, Abuja, Nigeria
Email:cornelludeagwu@gmail.com

Abstract

Nigeria has sustained the longest unbroken period of electoral government in its post-independence history, yet this formal democratic continuity coexists with persistent state fragility and a contested external image. This article interrogates that paradox through the lens of what it terms pacted consociationalism: an informal system of elite power-sharing, expressed through zoning and federal character, that forestalls state collapse while reproducing exclusion and rent-seeking. Drawing on Lijphart's consociational theory, Horowitz's centripetal alternative, and Rotberg's account of state fragility, the article argues that Nigeria's hybrid institutional practice, heavy on informal elite accommodation and light on segmental autonomy or centripetal incentive, helps to explain why democratic form has not translated into state capacity or a stable international reputation. The analysis is updated with verified developments to mid-2026, including Nigeria's classification by the International Monetary Fund and World Bank as a Fragile and Conflict-Affected State in July 2025, the finalisation of the Alliance of Sahel States' withdrawal from ECOWAS in January 2025, and Nigeria's intensified campaign for a permanent United Nations Security Council seat. The article concludes that reform of Nigeria's consociational architecture, rather than its abandonment, offers the more realistic route to reduced fragility and improved external standing ahead of 2027.

Keywords: *consociationalism; state fragility; external image; Nigeria; Fourth Republic; ECOWAS*

1. Introduction

Nigeria's second chance at democratization, which started in 1999, has sustained the longest period of uninterrupted electoral democracy in its post-independence history since the return to civilian rule on 29 May 1999 (Ogbonna, 2020). The Fourth Republic was born, and has been sustained, by a transition settlement that relied implicitly on consociational mechanisms: power-sharing at federal and subnational levels through the "zoning" of political offices, and concessions made in the interest of diversity and fair distribution. Yet this formal, if imperfect, democratic continuity exists alongside persistent state fragility, recurrent ethno-religious violence, and a legitimacy deficit that strains the social contract.

Nigeria was ranked among the fifteen most fragile states in the world in the 2024 Fragile States Index, with a composite score of 96.6 out of 120, placing it in the "High Alert" category alongside conflict-affected states such as Sudan and Yemen (Fund for Peace, 2024). It is currently, the 4th in the Global Terorism Index (GTI 2026). State capacity remains constrained: Nigeria's tax-to-GDP ratio stood at 8.2% in 2023 on internationally comparable OECD measures, among the lowest in Africa, although the Federal Government has since reported a broader domestic tax-to-GDP estimate of approximately 13.5% for 2024 following tax reform and GDP rebasing (OECD/AUC/ATAF, 2025; TheCable, 2025). Non-state armed actors control substantial territory in the North-East and North-West. Despite these indicators, the federal state has not collapsed: elections are held, power alternates between parties, and elite bargaining continues in Abuja and across the thirty-six states and 774 local government areas.

This paradox of formal democratic persistence amid substantive fragility forms the empirical puzzle addressed here. The puzzle is explained by the operation of what this study terms *pacted consociationalism*: an informal system of elite power-sharing that prevents total collapse but reproduces exclusion, rent-seeking, and fragility, to the near-total disenfranchisement of Nigeria's citizenry. Nigeria's Fourth Republic inherited a deeply divided society. Colonial indirect rule institutionalised ethnicity as the primary mode of political organisation; the discovery of oil at Oloibiri in 1956, in present-day Bayelsa State, converted control of subnational units, and especially the federal centre, into the principal route to wealth accumulation. Military rule between 1966 and 1999 centralised power and normalised elite pacts as a mechanism for managing inter-elite competition. The 1999 transition was itself a pact: northern military elites ceded power to a southern civilian, Olusegun Obasanjo, to forestall secessionist pressure and international isolation.

The 1999 Constitution adopted a majoritarian presidential system with nominal provisions for inclusion: section 14(3) mandates "federal character" in appointments, and section 147(3) requires ministerial appointments from every state. In practice, these provisions function as informal quotas negotiated among governors, party chairmen, and political elites, rather than as constitutionally enforceable guarantees. This is not classical consociationalism as defined by Lijphart, but a hollowed-out variant sustained by elite self-interest rather than societal inclusion.

The nexus between domestic consociational practice and state fragility bears directly on Nigeria's external image, understood as the aggregate perception of a state by foreign governments, investors, international organisations, and global media, shaped by state behaviour, media framing, and diplomatic performance (Anholt, 2007; Nye, 2004). For Nigeria, Africa's most populous state and a leading voice in the African Union (AU) and the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), external perception affects its capacity to attract foreign direct investment, exercise regional leadership, and secure strategic partnerships in an increasingly multipolar system (Ogunnubi & Isike, 2017). Since 1999, Nigeria's external image has oscillated between narratives of democratic resilience and narratives of fragility, insecurity, and governance deficit: praised for successive electoral transitions and for a stabilising role in West African peace operations, yet also listed among high-risk states on the Fragile States Index, criticised for human-rights concerns in policing and counter-insurgency operations, and ranked poorly on corruption and rule-of-law indices (Fund for Peace, 2024; Transparency International, 2025).

The period 2023 to present, is analytically decisive, as Nigeria navigates post-election coalition dynamics, fiscal reform, and Sahelian instability following the formal exit of Mali, Burkina Faso, and Niger from ECOWAS, which took effect on 29 January 2025 (Africanews, 2025). This study therefore situates consociationalism as both a domestic conflict-management strategy and an independent variable shaping state fragility and, by extension, Nigeria's external image in the international system.

2. Statement of the Problem

Consociational democracy, developed by Lijphart (1977), prescribes four institutional mechanisms for managing deeply divided societies: a grand coalition of segmental elites, mutual veto for minority protection, proportionality in representation and resource allocation, and segmental autonomy over internal affairs. The model stabilised the Netherlands, Belgium, Switzerland, and Austria through the twentieth century, and partially stabilised Burundi from 2014 (Adekanye, 1996).

The export of consociationalism to post-colonial, rentier, and fragile states has produced mixed and often adverse results. In Lebanon between 1943 and 1975, consociationalism collapsed into a fifteen-year civil war once external guarantees weakened and elites prioritised sectarian gain. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, consociational arrangements produced institutional deadlock and continued reliance on international oversight. In Nigeria, consociational mechanisms exist informally but have not produced democratic consolidation or commensurate state capacity.

The problem is both theoretical and empirical. Theoretically, Lijphart's model assumes two conditions that do not hold in Nigeria: that elites are status quo-oriented and prioritise systemic stability over short-term personal gain, and that the state possesses sufficient capacity to enforce elite bargains and provide public goods. Empirically, Nigeria's elites are frequently transactional and rent-seeking, and the state lacks a secure monopoly over the legitimate use of force and reliable fiscal autonomy.

The result is a credibility trap: elites do not trust one another to honour bargains in the absence of an external guarantor; citizens do not trust elites because the pact serves elite rent-extraction; the state struggles to enforce agreements because it lacks capacity; and non-state actors fill the resulting governance vacuum, further eroding state legitimacy. The expansion of non-state armed groups since the mid-2010s has exposed and accelerated this trap.

Existing literature tends to treat consociationalism, state fragility, and elite-pact theory as separate domains. Few studies integrate them to explain why power-sharing arrangements persist without resolving fragility in rentier states. This gap limits both theory-building and policy design: without a modified analytical framework, policy recommendations for Nigeria risk reproducing the failures of transplanted institutional models.

Three interrelated challenges therefore persist despite almost three decades of consociational practice. First, the efficacy of Nigeria's informal consociationalism is contested: zoning and federal character have prevented outright federal collapse, but have also entrenched elite cartel politics, reduced meritocracy and accountability, and left unaddressed the structural grievances driving insurgency and separatist agitation (Osaghae, 2003; Mustapha, 2007). This raises the question of whether Nigeria practises genuine consociationalism or merely elite accommodation without segmental autonomy (Lijphart, 2004).

Second, state fragility has deepened despite formal democratic continuity. Nigeria's Fragile States Index score worsened over the medium term before registering a marginal improvement to 96.6 in 2024, from 98.0 in 2023 (Fund for Peace, 2024). This disjuncture between democratic form and state capacity challenges the assumption that consociational democracy, by itself, reduces fragility (McGarry & O'Leary, 2009).

Third, Nigeria's external image has not kept pace with its demographic and economic weight. Foreign investment remains concentrated and risk-averse, and domestic insecurity narratives frequently undermine diplomatic initiatives; soft power is constrained by perceptions of corruption, weak institutions, and instability. Empirical research tracing precisely how consociational practice and resultant state fragility shape this external image remains limited (Ogunnubi, 2018). The problem, therefore, is that the causal linkages between consociationalism, state fragility, and external image in Nigeria between 1999 and 2027 remain under-theorised and under-examined.

3. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

This section maps three bodies of scholarship that anchor the study: conceptual debates on consociationalism, centripetalism, and integrative approaches to divided societies; theoretical explanations of how institutional design affects state stability; and empirical evidence on state fragility and Nigeria's external image in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. The objective is to identify the conceptual gap this article addresses: how the choice and quality of power-sharing design shape state fragility and, by extension, Nigeria's external image.

3.1 Consociationalism: Elite Accommodation of Cleavages

Consociationalism, coined by Lijphart (1977), denotes a model of democracy for deeply divided societies in which segmental cleavages are managed through elite cooperation alongside majoritarian competition. Its four institutional pillars are a grand coalition of segmental elites; mutual veto or concurrent majority; proportionality in representation and resource allocation; and segmental autonomy over cultural and educational affairs (Lijphart, 1999, 2004). McCulloch (2014) distinguishes "corporate" consociationalism, which entrenches fixed ethnic categories, from "liberal" consociationalism, which allows fluid self-identification; Nigeria's federal-character and zoning arrangements approximate the corporate form. Bogaards (2000) argues that consociational institutions operating below a minimum threshold of state capacity produce elite cartelisation rather than stability, a concept central to the "pacted consociationalism" thesis advanced here.

3.2 Centripetalism and Integrative Approaches

Centripetalism, advanced by Horowitz (1985, 2003), rejects ethnic quotas in favour of institutions that reward politicians for building support across group lines. Core mechanisms include the alternative vote, pre-election coalitions, and devolution that lowers the stakes of central power (Reilly, 2001, 2006); the underlying claim is that institutions shape identity expression, so that when moderation is made profitable, politicians moderate. Integrative or transformative approaches instead seek to dissolve cleavages through state-building and civic identity rather than manage them permanently (McGarry & O'Leary, 2009), through equitable service delivery, independent institutions, civic education, and national service, on the assumption that credible public-goods provision reduces the political salience of ethnic identity (Brinkerhoff, 2007; Rotberg, 2003).

3.3 State Fragility: Capacity, Authority, Legitimacy

Rotberg (2003, 2008) conceptualises fragility as failure across three core functions: capacity, or the ability to provide services and economic opportunity; authority, meaning a credible monopoly of legitimate force; and legitimacy, meaning public acceptance of the state's right to rule. The Fund for Peace operationalises this through twelve indicators, including group grievance, security apparatus, and external intervention (Fund for Peace, 2024), treating fragility as a continuum rather than a binary condition.

3.4 External Image: Brand, Soft Power, and Perception

Anholt (2007) defines "nation brand" as the aggregate perception of a country held by foreign publics, governments, and investors; Nye (2004) links this to "soft power", the capacity to shape preferences through attraction rather than coercion. For states, external image affects foreign direct investment inflows, diplomatic leverage, voting alignment at the United Nations, and alliance formation. Media framing is a key mechanism: Wasserman (2011) demonstrates that international media coverage of African states is disproportionately organised around conflict and corruption, a framing effect with material consequences for investor confidence.

3.5 Theoretical Discourse and Critiques

Lijphart's theory assumes that elites are status-quo oriented, that segments are discrete and stable, and that the state possesses the capacity to enforce elite bargains. Critiques most relevant to Nigeria include the elite-cartel critique (Horowitz, 1985; Reilly, 2006), which holds that consociationalism freezes ethnic identities and empowers elites who profit from patronage; the rigidity or gridlock critique, whereby mutual veto and proportionality can produce policy gridlock, particularly on economic reform (Lijphart, 2004); and the segmental-autonomy gap, whereby the absence of genuine self-rule reduces consociationalism to elite accommodation that ignores minority self-determination claims, as reflected in IPOB and MASSOB agitation (McGarry & O'Leary, 2009; Bogaards, 2000). Ogunnubi and Isike (2017) theorise that domestic governance deficits reduce soft power, while Clapton (2014) frames external-image problems as an "anxiety about state capacity". The implied causal chain runs from institutional design, through state capacity and legitimacy, to international perception, and thence to material outcomes such as foreign direct investment and diplomatic support.

3.6 Empirical Review: Nigeria, 1999 - Present

First, consociationalism, as a power-sharing model, fits best for a society that is either badly divided and/or emerging from conflict. This is a dual variable that are completely combined in the Nigerian situation (Ogbonna, 2020). Nigeria's Fourth Republic adopted informal consociational devices without constitutionalising all four Lijphartian pillars. Zoning and rotation were institutionalised first by the then-ruling Peoples Democratic Party as North-South presidential zoning, and subsequently adapted by the All Progressives Congress for party offices; empirical studies suggest zoning prevented outright collapse but entrenched elite cartel politics (Suberu, 2001, 2009). Federal character, codified in section 14(3) of the 1999 Constitution, reduced fears of regional domination but, according to Mustapha (2007), entrenched ethnic quotas without a corresponding merit principle, fuelling grievance. The derivation principle increased the oil-producing states' share of revenue from 1.5% to 13%, accommodating resource-control demands while deepening fiscal centralisation elsewhere (Osaghae, 2003). The empirical consensus is that Nigeria exhibits a form of liberal consociationalism with weak segmental autonomy, sufficient to prevent state collapse but insufficient to resolve violent contestation (Suberu, 2009).

Centripetal elements are present but weak: the constitutional requirement that a successful presidential candidate secure 25% of votes in two-thirds of states reflects centripetal logic by forcing national coalitions, yet legislative and gubernatorial elections use first-past-the-post rules that incentivise ethnic mobilisation (Ojo, 2009). Nigeria is consequently regarded as a least-likely case for centripetalism, since parties remain regionally anchored and the cost of ethnic defection is low (Reilly, 2006); proposals to extend the alternative vote to gubernatorial elections have not been adopted. On integrative state-building, Eze and Ibietan (2021) correlate banditry and insurgency with deficits in justice and service delivery rather than with the absence of zoning; national-service and civic-education programmes show limited impact owing to underfunding and elite capture (Rotberg, 2003).

On fragility, Fund for Peace data show Nigeria's Fragile States Index score rising from 89.2 in 2006 to a peak in the high-90s during the early 2020s, before easing marginally to 96.6 in 2024 (Fund for Peace, 2024). In July 2025, the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank formally classified Nigeria among Fragile and Conflict-Affected States, a designation that, while contested by the Federal Government, underscores the persistence of the capacity deficit documented in the domestic literature (International Monetary Fund, 2025). Nigeria continues to score highest on the "group grievance", "security apparatus", and "elite factionalism" indicators, and non-state actors retain effective control of parts of the North-East and North-West. Yet the state has not collapsed: elections occur, elites bargain, and administrative continuity persists, confirming the "fragile but persistent" puzzle at the centre of this study.

On external image, Ogunnubi (2018) finds that foreign direct investment inflows correlate negatively with Fragile States Index scores and positively with successful electoral transitions. Wasserman's (2011) findings on disproportionate international media emphasis on conflict and corruption are corroborated by subsequent coverage of Boko Haram, kidnapping, and graft, a framing pattern that reduces investor confidence and diplomatic leverage even as Nigeria continues to contribute to peacekeeping across West Africa.

Review of these three strands reveals four gaps that this article addresses. First, an integration gap: existing literature treats consociationalism, state fragility, and external image as separate domains, with few studies tracing how institutional design mediates international perception through state capacity. Second, a quality-versus-presence gap: most studies ask whether Nigeria practises consociationalism, rather than assessing the quality of that practice against Lijphart's four pillars, and how low-quality practice produces fragile consociationalism. Third, a comparative-institutional gap: scholarship debates consociationalism against centripetalism in Nigeria, but rarely situates both alongside integrative state-building to explain the persistence of Nigeria's hybrid approach. Fourth, a temporal-projection gap: most empirical work concludes at 2022 or 2023, leaving the post-election coalition dynamics and Sahelian instability of 2024 - 2027 comparatively under-examined.

4. Theoretical Framework

This study adopts a synthesised framework combining consociational democratic theory and state-fragility theory, with external-image theory as the outcome of interest. Three core propositions follow. First, high-quality consociationalism, comprising a grand coalition, mutual veto, proportionality, and segmental autonomy, reduces fragility by increasing legitimacy, whereas low-quality "pacted consociationalism" increases fragility by institutionalising elite cartelisation without corresponding service delivery. Second, state fragility, measured through deficits in capacity, authority, and legitimacy, mediates the relationship between institutional design and external image. Third, higher fragility reduces soft power, foreign direct investment, and diplomatic support, while lower fragility improves Nigeria's capacity to project influence within the AU, ECOWAS, and other global forums.

In this conceptual model, the independent variable is the type and quality of institutional design, whether consociational, centripetal, or integrative, in Nigeria between 1999 and 2026. The mediating variable is state fragility, measured through Fragile States Index scores, security outcomes, fiscal capacity, and legitimacy indicators. The dependent variable is Nigeria's external image, measured through foreign direct investment inflows, voting alignment at the UN General Assembly, and international media sentiment. Control variables include oil price movements, global security shocks, leadership transitions, and Sahelian instability.

Applied to Nigeria, the framework treats current practice as fragile consociationalism, combined with weak centripetal rules and underfunded integrative reform. The analysis tests whether this combination explains persistent fragility and a mixed external image, and whether a shift towards liberal consociationalism, paired with targeted integrative investment in state capacity, could improve outcomes by 2027. Consociationalism aims to keep the peace by bargaining among elites in the near term; centripetalism aims to reshape political incentives so that elites behave more moderately; and integration aims to change the underlying social structure so that group identity becomes less politically salient over the longer run. Nigeria has relied heavily on consociational management since 1999, with weak centripetal incentives and limited integrative state-building; this combination plausibly explains why fragility persists despite democratic continuity.

5. Analysis on Verified Developments, 2024 - 2026

The following section updates the empirical record with developments verified, in order to test the framework's propositions against the most recent evidence available. All figures below are drawn from named, dateable sources and are presented in bold to distinguish this updated material from the original analysis.

State fragility indicators. Nigeria's 2024 Fragile States Index score of 96.6 represented a marginal improvement on 98.0 in 2023, yet still placed the country in the "High Alert" band, among the fifteen most fragile states globally (Fund for Peace, 2024). More significantly for the fragility proposition advanced in Section 4, the International Monetary Fund and World Bank jointly classified Nigeria as a Fragile and Conflict-Affected State in their July 2025 Article IV consultation, the first time Nigeria has received this designation from the Bretton Woods institutions; the classification reflects persistent deficits in security provision, revenue mobilisation, and institutional capacity rather than any single acute crisis (International Monetary Fund, 2025). This development corroborates the study's central claim that formal democratic continuity has not resolved Nigeria's underlying capacity deficit.

Fiscal capacity. Nigeria's tax-to-GDP ratio, measured on internationally comparable OECD terms, stood at 8.2% in 2023, marginally down from 8.3% a decade earlier and still among the lowest ratios recorded in Africa (OECD/AUC/ATAF, 2025). The Federal Government has since reported a substantially higher domestic estimate of 13.5% for 2024, attributed to new tax legislation signed in June 2025 and a GDP rebasing exercise that enlarged the measured size of the economy (TheCable, 2025). The divergence between the OECD's standardised measure and the government's domestic estimate illustrates a recurring difficulty in assessing Nigerian state capacity: methodological choices materially affect whether fiscal indicators appear to support or contradict the fragility thesis, and this divergence should be read as a caution against treating any single fiscal statistic as definitive.

Regional architecture. The withdrawal of Mali, Burkina Faso, and Niger from ECOWAS, first announced in January 2024, took formal effect on 29 January 2025, reducing ECOWAS's population base by roughly seventy million people and confirming the bloc's most serious integrationist crisis since 1975 (Africanews, 2025). The three states have since consolidated the Alliance of Sahel States as an alternative security and economic confederation, deepening security cooperation with Russia while curtailing engagement with France and, more recently, the United States. For Nigeria, which holds the ECOWAS chairmanship, the fragmentation of the regional bloc complicates the external-image proposition: Nigeria's claim to regional leadership is now exercised over a smaller and more contested bloc, even as Abuja has sought a mediating role between ECOWAS and the AES.

Corruption and rule-of-law perception. Nigeria's score on the 2024 Corruption Perceptions Index rose marginally to 26 out of 100, improving its global rank from 145th to 140th out of 180 countries, though the score remains well below both the global average of 43 and the Sub-Saharan African average of 33 (Transparency International, 2025). This marginal yet incremental improvement is consistent with the framework's prediction that modest gains in perceived governance quality can coexist with continued high fragility, since corruption perception is only one of several inputs into external image.

Diplomatic strategy. Nigeria has intensified its campaign for a permanent African seat on the United Nations Security Council, articulated most prominently by Vice President Kashim Shettima at the eightieth session of the UN General Assembly in September 2025 and reiterated by Nigeria's Permanent Representative to the UN in 2026 (News Agency of Nigeria, 2025; Daily Post, 2026). This diplomatic push illustrates the external-image proposition in reverse: Nigeria is attempting to convert demographic weight and peacekeeping contributions into institutional standing even as its own fragility indicators remain elevated, a strategy whose success will depend partly on whether the domestic reforms discussed in Section 6 are perceived as credible by international partners.

Taken together, these updated indicators support the study's central proposition: Nigeria's pacted consociationalism has continued to prevent state collapse through 2026, while leaving the underlying capacity and legitimacy deficits that drive both fragility and a mixed external image substantially unresolved.

6. Significance and Policy Implications

Theoretically, this study contributes to comparative politics and international relations by testing consociational theory in a high-fragility, post-colonial context, and by linking domestic institutional design to external perception, a comparatively underexplored pathway (Clapton, 2014). Methodologically, it demonstrates how process-tracing and mixed-methods case-study design can be used to analyse informal institutions and elite behaviour where formal data are limited or contested.

For policy, the findings are relevant to Nigerian policymakers and to the AU, ECOWAS, and other regional bodies seeking to reform consociational mechanisms to enhance state resilience and improve international reputation ahead of the 2027 elections and Nigeria's continuing pursuit of United Nations Security Council reform. Constitutional review remains the most direct policy leverage: amendments strengthening the enforceability of federal-character provisions, clarifying revenue-allocation formulae, and providing a credible mechanism for segmental autonomy would move Nigeria's practice closer to Lijphart's original model and, on the logic of the framework developed here, should reduce the elite-cartelisation dynamics that sustain fragility. These findings are also relevant to other consociational or power-sharing states, including Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia and Herzegovina, and, as a comparatively successful case, Switzerland.

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

Nigeria's Fourth Republic illustrates a durable but unresolved paradox: an informal consociational settlement that has kept the federation intact for over a quarter of a century, while entrenching the elite cartelisation, weak fiscal capacity, and legitimacy deficits that keep the country in the higher bands of global fragility indices. The updated evidence to mid-2026, including Nigeria's classification as a Fragile and Conflict-Affected State, the consolidation of the Alliance of Sahel States outside ECOWAS, and Nigeria's active but as yet unsuccessful campaign for a permanent UN Security Council seat, indicates that this paradox has not resolved itself through the passage of time alone. The evidence instead points towards the need for deliberate institutional reform: strengthening the substantive content of federal character and segmental autonomy, improving domestic revenue mobilisation, and pursuing integrative state-building alongside, rather than instead of, existing consociational arrangements. Whether such reform is achievable before the 2027 elections will substantially determine both the trajectory of Nigeria's state fragility and the durability of its claim to regional and global leadership.

References

Adekanye, J. B. (1996). Rwanda/Burundi:'Uni-ethnic'Dominance and the Cycle of Armed Ethnic Formations. *Social Identities*, *2*(1), 37-72.

Africanews. (2025, January 29). ECOWAS confirms exit of the Alliance of Sahel States from the regional bloc. https://www.africanews.com/2025/01/29/ecowas-confirms-exit-of-the-alliance-of-sahel-states-from-the-regional-bloc/

Andeweg, R. B. (2000). Consociational democracy. Annual Review of Political Science, 3, 509–536. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.509

Anholt, S. (2007). Competitive identity: The new brand management for nations, cities and regions. Palgrave Macmillan.

Bogaards, M. (2000). The uneasy relationship between empirical and normative types in consociational theory. Journal of Theoretical Politics, 12(4), 395–423. https://doi.org/10.1177/0951692800012004003

Brinkerhoff, D. W. (2007). Governance in post-conflict societies: Rebuilding fragile states. Routledge.

Clapton, W. (2014). Risk, anxiety and the 'war on terror'. European Journal of International Relations, 20(1), 133–154. https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066111428970

Daily Post Nigeria. (2026, May 29). Nigerian govt renews call for permanent seat for Africa in UN Security Council. https://dailypost.ng/2026/05/29/nigerian-govt-renews-call-for-permanent-seat-for-africa-in-un-security-council/

Eze, S., & Ibietan, J. (2021). Governance and state fragility in Nigeria. Cogent Social Sciences, 7(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2021.1940659

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1999). Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended 2023). Federal Government Press.

Fund for Peace. (2024). Fragile States Index 2024. https://fragilestatesindex.org

Horowitz, D. L. (1985). Ethnic groups in conflict. University of California Press.

Horowitz, D. L. (2003). The cracked foundations of the right to secede. Journal of Democracy, 14(2), 5–17. https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2003.0037

International Monetary Fund. (2025, July 2). Nigeria: 2025 Article IV consultation – Press release; staff report; and statement by the executive director for Nigeria. International Monetary Fund.

Lijphart, A. (1977). Democracy in plural societies: A comparative exploration. Yale University Press.

Lijphart, A. (1999). Patterns of democracy: Government forms and performance in thirty-six countries. Yale University Press.

Lijphart, A. (2004). Constitutional design for divided societies. Journal of Democracy, 15(2), 96–109. https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2004.0025

McCulloch, A. (2014). Consociational settlements in deeply divided societies: The liberal-corporate distinction. Democratization, 21(3), 501–518. https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2013.869231

McGarry, J., & O'Leary, B. (2009). If only we were more democratic...: Democratization, conflict resolution and state-building in Northern Ireland. Ethnopolitics, 8(2), 103–120. https://doi.org/10.1080/17449050902738785

Mustapha, A. R. (2007). Institutionalizing ethnic representation: How effective is the Federal Character Commission in Nigeria? (CRISE Working Paper No. 43). University of Oxford.

News Agency of Nigeria. (2025, September 25). Nigeria demands permanent seat at UN Security Council. https://nannews.ng/2025/09/25/nigeria-demands-permanent-seat-at-un-security-council/

Nye, J. S. (2004). Soft power: The means to success in world politics. PublicAffairs.

OECD/AUC/ATAF. (2025). Revenue statistics in Africa 2025: Nigeria. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/revenue-statistics-in-africa-2025_8d3bf3af-en.html

Ogbonna, E. C. (2020). *Social Mobilisation and Critical Citizenry in Democratisation: The Evolving Nigerian Experience.* Depet, Nigeria.

Ogunnubi, O. (2018). Nigeria's foreign policy and the search for international prestige. Palgrave Macmillan.

Ogunnubi, O., & Isike, C. (2017). Regional hegemonic struggle: The role of Nigeria's soft power in Africa. Journal of Asian and African Studies, 52(8), 1130–1145. https://doi.org/10.1177/0021909616632955

Ojo, E. O. (2009). Mechanisms of national integration in a multi-ethnic federal state: The Nigerian experience. African Journal of Political Science and International Relations, 3(2), 46–55.

Osaghae, E. E. (2003). Federalism and political stability in Nigeria. Publius: The Journal of Federalism, 33(4), 71–92. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.pubjof.a005004

Reilly, B. (2001). Democracy in divided societies: Electoral engineering for conflict management. Cambridge University Press.

Reilly, B. (2006). Political engineering and party politics in conflict-prone societies. Democratization, 13(3), 355–380. https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340600579324

Rotberg, R. I. (2003). State failure and state weakness in a time of terror. Brookings Institution Press.

Suberu, R. T. (2001). Federalism and ethnic conflict in Nigeria. United States Institute of Peace Press.

Suberu, R. T. (2009). Federalism, ethnicity, and sectarianism in Nigeria. Ethnopolitics, 8(3–4), 341–356. https://doi.org/10.1080/17449050903086751

TheCable. (2025, May 30). Tinubu: Nigeria's tax revenue-to-GDP ratio rose to 13.5% in 2024. https://www.thecable.ng/tinubu-nigerias-tax-revenue-to-gdp-ratio-rose-to-13-5-in-2024/

Transparency International. (2025). Corruption Perceptions Index 2024. https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2024

Wasserman, H. (2011). Tabloid journalism in South Africa: True story! Indiana University Press.